

Proceedings of the
7th International Conference on
Gender Research

**Hosted by the Universitat Autònoma
de Barcelona
Spain**

25-26 April 2024



Prof. Carmen-Pilar Martí Ballester

A conference managed by ACI, UK

Proceedings of the

**7th International Conference on
Gender Research**

ICGR 2024

**hosted by
The Universitat Autònoma de
Barcelona
Spain**

25-26 April 2024

Copyright the authors, 2024. All Rights Reserved.

No reproduction, copy or transmission may be made without written permission from the individual authors.

Review Process

Papers submitted to this conference have been double-blind peer reviewed before final acceptance to the conference. Initially, abstracts were reviewed for relevance and accessibility and successful authors were invited to submit full papers. Many thanks to the reviewers who helped ensure the quality of all the submissions.

Ethics and Publication Malpractice Policy

ACIL adheres to a strict ethics and publication malpractice policy for all publications – details of which can be found here:

<http://www.academic-conferences.org/policies/ethics-policy-for-publishing-in-the-conference-proceedings-of-academic-conferences-and-publishing-international-limited/>

Self-Archiving and Paper Repositories

We actively encourage authors of papers in ACIL conference proceedings and journals to upload their published papers to university repositories and research bodies such as ResearchGate and Academic.edu. Full reference to the original publication should be provided.

Conference Proceedings

The Conference Proceedings is a book published with an ISBN and ISSN. The proceedings have been submitted to a number of accreditation, citation and indexing bodies including Thomson ISI Web of Science and Elsevier Scopus. Author affiliation details in these proceedings have been reproduced as supplied by the authors themselves.

From 2022 these proceedings are open access and freely available for all to read. The Conference Proceedings for previous years can be purchased from <http://academic-bookshop.com>

The Electronic version of the Conference Proceedings is available to download from <https://papers.academic-conferences.org/>.

E-Book ISBN: 978-1-914587-99-3

E-Book ISSN: 2516-2810

Book version ISBN: 978-1-914587-98-6

Book Version ISSN: 2516-2802

Published by Academic Conferences International Limited

Reading, UK

+44118 324 6938

www.academic-conferences.org

info@academic-conferences.org

ICGR 2024 Contents Page

Preface v

Committee vi

Biographies vii

Academic Papers

Indigenous Women Perspectives on Gender Equality and Feminism: A Case Study of Jahai Women in Peninsular Malaysia

Rafidah Abd Karim, Ramlee Mustapha, Norwaliza Abdul Wahab, Mohd Hasrol Haffiz Aliasak, Nurul Farhani Che Ghani, Nurul Shatirah Zainol 1-9

Gender Differentials for Participation in Producer Organization Among Smallholder Farmers: Evidence from Nigeria

Oluwatosin Adewusi, Prof. V.O Okoruwa, Prof. K.K Salman, Dr O. Alawode, Oluwafemi Adewusi 10-18

Implementation Research with Expressive Arts Therapy (EAT) to Support the Newcomer Survivors of Gender-based Domestic Violence (GBDV) in Toronto

Akm Alamgir, Christen Kong 19-26

Gender Diversity: An Empirical Study on 4.151 US Investment Funds

Simona Arduini, TOMMASO BECK 27-35

Artificial Intelligence – Gender-Specific Differences in Perception, Understanding, and Training Interest

Sascha Armutat, Malte Wattenberg, Nina Mauritz 36-43

The Precarious Existence of Jane Austen’s Charlotte Lucas Across Time and Text

Olgahan Baksi Yalcin 44-50

How Much Does Gender Affect the Behaviour of Using Renewable Energy Resources?

Victoria Bogdan, Olimpia Iuliana Ban, Adrian Gheorghe Florea, Jozsef Gonczi 51-60

Feminism and Entrepreneurship in Prestigious Management Journals: A Critical Analysis

Chiara Leggerini, Mariasole Bannò, Camilla Federici 61-70

Participatory Strategies to Integrate Gender+ Into Teaching and Research

Fernanda Campanini Vilhena, Rita Bencivenga, María López Belloso, Cinzia Leone, Angela Celeste Taramasso 71-78

Barriers to Women Professionals’ Career Growth during Covid-19 in India

Sushama Chaudhari, Shivani Inamdar 79-86

Situation Analysis of Female Academics' Career Advancement in Higher Education in Syria

Serene Dalati, Rim Omar, Hala Alchach, Rewa Alkhatib 87-96

Filipino Hospitality Industry Gender Disparities: Hiring Practices, Salary Levels, and Promotional Opportunities

Jeanneth Darroca, Tadema Formarejo, Leizl Alfonso, Mary Cosette Corbo 97-106

Gaming Equity: Women, Videogame Companies, and Public Discourse

Jennifer Jenson, Suzanne de Castell, Olga Kanapelka, Karen Skardzius 107-115

Up-Cycling Barbie: “Bad Feminism” for Mixed-Up Times

Suzanne de Castell, Jennifer Jenson 116-124

<i>From Norm and Theory to Practice: a Tailor-made GEP for the Institut de Ciències del Mar</i> Silvia Donoso Lopez, Esther Garcés, Elena Torrecilla	125-134
<i>The Territorial Planning Process of the Fez-Meknes Region from a Gender Perspective: An Exploratory Study</i> Kaoutar El-Ouali, Amina Magdoud	135-143
<i>External Return-To-Work Programs Impact On Women Relaunching Their Careers</i> Karen Ferrez Frisch, Sandra Costa, Florinda Matos	144-151
<i>Transgender Men and Non-Binary Individuals' Right to Pregnancy</i> Sebastian Gaskarth, Sarah Reddington	152-157
<i>The Soccer-Playing Unicorn – Mitigating Gender Bias in AI-Created STEM Teaching Materials</i> Claudia Hess, Sibylle Kunz	158-166
<i>Gendered Perspectives on Sustainable Entrepreneurship: A Study of Finnish SMEs</i> Sanna Joensuu-Salo, Emilia Kangas, Laura Könönen, Annukka Koivuranta	167-174
<i>Effectiveness of Gender Equality Policies in G20 Parliaments: A Fuzzy Logic Analysis</i> R. Arzu Kalemci, Ela Ozkan-Canbolat , Ipek Kalemci-Tuzun	175-181
<i>Discover and Dream: Appreciating Women Entrepreneurs' Innovation</i> Emilia Kangas, Anmari Viljamaa, Sanna Joensuu-Salo	182-189
<i>Gender as a Moderator of the Double Bias of Mistakes – Knowledge Culture and Knowledge Sharing Effects</i> Wioleta Kucharska, Aleksandra Kopytko	190-199
<i>Criticize my Code, not me: Using AI-generated Feedback in Computer Science Teaching</i> Sibylle Kunz, Adrienne Steffen	200-207
<i>The Growing Importance of Women in Portuguese Football and in the Sports Press</i> Marlene Loureiro, Joana Alves	208-217
<i>Experiences and Perceptions of Menstrual Hygiene Management (MHM) Among Homeless Women in Cape Town</i> Ayanda Mhlongo	218-223
<i>Qualitative Assessment of Resilience: Lessons from Rural Women in South India</i> Ms. Radhika Mohan, Dr. Preetha Menon, Ms. Anjana J.S., Ms. Aswathy J	224-231
<i>Resilience Amidst Adversity: Exploring Gender Disparities and Psychosocial Factors in Kerala</i> Ms. Radhika Mohan, Dr. Preetha Menon, Ms. Neethu P. Rajan, Ms. Adithya M.S.	232-241
<i>Malaysia's Orang Asli Women Roles in Indigenous Community</i> Ramlee Mustapha, Rafidah Abd Karim, Mohd Hasrol Haffiz Aliasak, Norwaliza Abdul Wahab, Nurul Farhani Che Ghani, Nurul Shatirah Zainol	243-250
<i>Empowering Women for Technology Entrepreneurship: Opportunities and Challenges</i> Valentina NDOU, Gioconda MELE, Eglantina HYSA, Eglia MANSI	251-261
<i>Adapting Organizational Inclusivity Through Empowering Gender-Diversity</i> Colton Nguyen	262-269
<i>Gender Through Media: Images of Contemporary Masculinity in Two Pakistani Movies</i> Sara Noor	269-275

<i>Attitudes Toward Gender Quota Legislation on TMT-level Positions: Men Believe That Gender Balance Will Sort Itself Out in Due Course</i>	
Asta Dis Oladottir, Thora H Christiansen, Haukur Freyr Gylfason, Haukur C. Benediktsson	276-283
<i>The Future of Women in Technology : Challenges and Recommendations</i>	
Dr Marie Oldfield	284-292
<i>Gender Equality in ASDC: Unravelling Societal Challenge in Educational Attainment and Economic Empowerment, Inheritance Access, and Workplace Dynamics in Malaysia</i>	
Othman, Norashiken, U.N.N Abdullah, Abdullah, Nazmin, G.K.Ganesan, Bakar, Roshidi	293-302
<i>The Education Impact on the Innovativeness of Female Entrepreneurship: A Systematic Literature Review</i>	
Elisabeth T. Pereira, Martina Manzo	303-311
<i>Pedagogical Strategies for Female Students in IT Disciplines to Promote Gender Equality: The Case of Five European Universities</i>	
Elisabeth T. Pereira, Ana Vukičević , Frane Urem, Diogo Gomes	312-320
<i>My Perfect Partner: Using Creative Methods to Address Gender Based Violence</i>	
Janette Porter, Kay Standing	321-330
<i>Exploring Gender Dynamics and Environmental Sustainability in Family Firms</i>	
Adelinda Ramos, Shital Jayantilal, Filipe Sardo	331-338
<i>Essential Aspects of Gender-inclusive Computer Science Education</i>	
Lisa Sällvin, Lena-Maria Öberg, Peter Mozelius	339-346
<i>Role of Education and Training to Make Agritourism A Success Story in India</i>	
Navjot Sandhu	347-355
<i>Confronting Conundrums of Care in College Student Advising</i>	
Roy Schwartzman, Jenni Simon, Cynthia Zuckerman Hyman	356-363
<i>Evaluating Women's Economic Empowerment During COVID-19 Pandemic in South Sulawesi, Indonesia</i>	
Rina Shahrullah, Elza Syarief, Okky Chahyo Nugroho, Firdaus Firdaus, Penny Naluria Utami, Ulang Mangun Sosiawan, Djoko Waluyo, Ahmad Sanusi	364-369
<i>Exploration of Girls' Role Models: Are there Female STEM Role Models in Sight?</i>	
Adrienne Steffen, Claudia Hess	370-377
<i>Can Participatory Action Research Deepen the Understanding of Intersectionality in the Field of Biodiversity Research?</i>	
Anita Thaler, Sandra Karner	378-387
<i>Gender Bias in Succession in Family Companies: Theoretical Analysis With Application to a Portuguese Region</i>	
Eduardo Tomé, Elizaveta Gromova, José Campos	388-394
<i>CEO Gender and Family Business Performance: The Moderating Role of Socio-Emotional Wealth</i>	
Nadine Lybaert, Ine Umans	395-402
<i>Is Artificial Intelligence Gender-Free? What Does Feminist Epistemology Say About That?</i>	
Valerija Vendramin	403-407
<i>Attracting Female Engineers: A Qualitative Analysis in Mechanical Engineering in Germany</i>	
Johanna M. Werz, Lea M. Daling, Lisa Brueggemann, Esther Borowski, Ingrid Isenhardt	408-415

PhD Papers

- Critical Race Feminism and the Counterterrorism Strategy 'Prevent'*
Lilly Barker 417-423
- The Power of Knowledge in Combat Sports Coaching*
Sanna Erdogan 424-430
- The Educational Potential of Cyberfeminisms: An Intersectional Analysis of @coletivoandorinha, @feministasemmovimento and @feministas.pt profiles*
Clarissa Godoy, Maria João Cunha 431-439
- Awareness of Women's Inclusion in STEM: Twitter Data in Italy*
Chiara Leggerini, Mariasole Bannò 440-448
- Enhancing Workplace Inclusivity for TGNC (Transgender/Nonconforming) Communities: "A Path to Psychological-Safety"*
Colton Nguyen 449-457
- The Vulva Dialogues: The Sexual-Bodily Experience of Cisgender Women*
Adriana Maria Penna Quintão 458-465
- Diversity and inclusion in the South African telecommunications industry: An LGBTQIA+ employee perspective*
Xolile Sibande, Jenika Gobind 466-471

Masters Papers

- Wonder Woman on Screen: From Feminist Symbol to Stereotypical Figure*
Ruochen Zhang 473-479

Work in Progress

- How to Measure the Impact Generated by the Gender Equality Plan?*
Anna Brescianini, Mariasole Bannò, Camilla Federici 481-484
- Emotion Work of Paid and Unpaid Caregivers of the Elderly in Chile*
Catalina Ganga-León 485-487
- "STEM in Genere": an impact evaluation*
Giovanni Maria Abbiati, Chiara Leggerini, Mariasole Bannò 488-492

Late Submission

- Women and STEM skills for innovation and technological entrepreneurship*
Antonia Terán-Bustamante, Antonieta Martínez-Velasco, Lorena de La Torre-Díaz 494-503

ICGR Preface

These proceedings represent the work of contributors to the 7th International Conference on Gender Research (ICGR 2024), hosted by The Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Spain on 25-26 April 2024. The Conference Chair is Professor Carmen-Pilar Martí Ballester, from the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Spain.

ICGR is a well-established event on the academic research calendar and now in its 7th year the key aim remains the opportunity for participants to share ideas and meet the people who hold them. The scope of papers will ensure an interesting two days. The subjects covered illustrate the wide range of topics that fall into this important and ever-growing area of research.

The opening keynote presentation is given by Professor Olga Salido, from Complutense University of Madrid, Spain, on the topic of *The Third Puzzle of Gender and Science: Gendering Knowledge Transfer*. The second day of the conference will open with an address by Professor Sara Martín Alegre, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Spain, who will talk about *Doing Masculinities Studies as a Feminist Woman: Aims and Gains*.

With an initial submission of 161 abstracts, after the double blind, peer review process there are 49 Academic research papers, 7 PhD research papers, 1 Masters Research paper and 3 work-in-progress papers published in these Conference Proceedings. These papers represent research from Austria, Belgium, Canada, China, Egypt, Ethiopia, Finland, Germany, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Ireland, Italy, Kazakhstan, Kenya, Lebanon, Malaysia, México, Morocco, Netherlands, Nigeria, Pakistan, Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Riyadh, Romania, Slovenia, South Africa, Spain, Sweden, Syria, Türkiye, UK, and USA.

We hope you enjoy the conference.

Professor Carmen-Pilar Martí Ballester
The Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona
Spain
April 2024


ICGR Conference Committee

Dr Rafidah Abd Karim, Universiti Teknologi Mara, Malaysia; Prof Laurice Alexandre, Paris Descartes University, France; Dr Reem Alkhamash, Taif University, Saudi Arabia; Professor Simona Arduini, Roma Tre University, Rome, Italy; Prof Lina Artemenko, National Technical University of Ukraine Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Polytechnic Institute, Ukraine; Prof Liz Bacon, University of Greenwich, UK; Dr Afsaneh Bagheri, Faculty of Entrepreneurship, University of Teahran, Iran; Dr Olgahan Baksi Yalcin, Istanbul Yeni Yuzyil University, Turkey; Prof Joan Ballantine, University of Ulster, UK; Dr Tommaso Beck, Rome Tre University, Italy; Dr Jagdev Bogal, Birmingham City University, UK; Dr Zélia Breda, University of Aveiro, Portugal; Prof Sladjana Cabrilo, I-Shou University, Department of International Business Administration, Taiwan (R.O.C.); Senior Lecteur, Phd Ana Paula Camarinha Teixeira, IPP - Iscap, Portugal; Dr Sancha Campanella, Instituto Superior de administração e Linguas (ISAL), Portugal; Dr Claudia Canali, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Italy; Prof Luísa Carvalho, University of Évora, Portugal; Prof Teresa Carvalho, University of Aveiro, Portugal; Dr Carla Cerqueira, Lusófona University - CICANT, portugal; Prof Francesca Maria Cesaroni, University of Urbino Carlo Bo, Italy; Prof Mary Corcoran, University of Michigan, USA; Francesca Dal Mas, Università degli Studi di Udine, Italy; Dr Serene Dalati, Arab International University, Syria; Geoffrey Darnton, WMG, UK; Dr Sheila. Delhumeau Rivera, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California, México; Prof Sara Diogo, University of Aveiro, Portugal; Assc John Dumay, Macquarie University, Australia; Dr Jeanne Ellis, University of Stellenbosch, South Africa; Prof Turan Erman Erkan, Atilim University, Turkey; Dr José Esteves, IE business school, Spain; Prof Elisabete G. S. Félix, University of Évora, Portugal; Prof Emilia Fernandes , Universidade do Minho, Portugal; Dr Ana Freire, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, Spain; Dr Valerie Priscilla Goby, Zayed University, UAE; Prof Sofia Gomes, Portucalense University, Portugal; Prof Shital Jayantilal, Universidade Portucalense, Portugal; Prof Mortaza kokabi, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Iran; Blair Kuntz, University of Toronto, Canada; Dr Alessandra Lardo, University of Naples Parthenope, Italy; Dr Regina Leite, University of Minho - School of Economics and Management, Portugal; Assc Jeanette Lemmergaard, University of Southern Denmark, Dept. of Marketing & Management, Denmark; Dr Pinte Lin, University of Reading , UK; Dr Maria de Lourdes Machado-Taylor, CIPES-Center for Higher Education Policies, Portugal; Dr Carmen-Pilar Martí-Ballester, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Spain; Dr Zamokuhle Mbandlwa, Durban University of Technology , South Africa; Dr. Anabela Mesquita, School of Accounting and Administration of Porto (ISCAP) / Politechnic Institute of Porto (IPP), Portugal; Assc Ludmila Mladkova, University of Economics Prague, Czech Republic; Prof Nasima Mohamed Hoosen Carrim, University of Pretoria, South Africa; Dr Gunilla Myretteg, Örebro University School of Business, Sweden; Prof Alcina Nunes, Polytechnic Institute of Bragança, Portugal; Assoc Prof Dr Nuran Öze, Arkin University of Creative Arts and Design (ARUCAD), Northern Cyprus; Prof Paola Paoloni, La Sapienza University, Rome, Italy; Dr Stamatis Papadakis, Department of Education, University of Crete, Greece; Prof Elisabeth T. Pereira, Department of Economics, Management, Industrial

Engineering and Tourism - University of Aveiro, Portugal; Dr francesca picciaia, Department of Economics, University of Perugia, Italy; Prof Maryna Pichugina, National Technical University of Ukraine, Ukraine; Prof Cristina Rodrigues, University of Minho, Portugal; Dr Navjot Sandhu, Birmingham City University, UK; Dr Roy Schwartzman, NC State University, USA; Dr Paola Sdao, University of Calabria, Italy; Dr Annalisa Sentuti, University of Urbino Carlo Bo, Italy; Prof Susana Silva, School of Hospitality and Tourism, Institute Polytechnic of Porto, Portugal; Dr Jenni Simon, University of North Carolina Greensboro, USA; Prof Vlatka Skokic, University of Split, Croatia; Prof Vera Teixeira Vale, Aveiro University, Portugal; Prof Nachiketa Tripathi, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, India; Dr Annelien van Rooyen, University of South Africa, South Africa; Dr Sonia Vivona, Institute for Agricultural and Forest Systems in the Mediterranean, National Research Council, Italy; Doris Wesley, North Carolina State University (known previously to be affiliated with the University of North Carolina, Greensboro), USA; Yu Zhang, LuxshareICT, China.

Biographies

Conference Chair



Carmen-Pilar Martí Ballester (PhD) is an associate professor in the Business Department of the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona. Her research focuses on (1) analysing the financial and social performance of thematic mutual funds taking into account gender issues, and (2) examining investor behaviour in the thematic mutual funds industry. She is an active reviewer in a range of international journals and also has published research papers in many other international journals.

Keynote Speaker



Professor Sara Martín Alegre is Senior Lecturer at the Department of English and German, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (Spain), where she teaches English Literature and Cultural Studies. Martín's research focuses on Gender Studies mainly applied to popular fictions, with a special emphasis on Critical Studies of Men and Masculinities. She is at work currently on a volume on masculinity in science fiction authored by men.



Olga Salido holds a PhD in Political Science and Sociology and is Professor of Sociology at the Department of Applied Sociology, Complutense University of Madrid, where she has been Deputy Director (2018-2022) and Director of the *Master in Applied Sociology*. Salido's research interests focus on the study of socio-economic inequalities and social mobility as well as the analysis of family and gender equality policies in comparative perspective.

Mini-Track Chairs



Tindara Addabbo is a full Professor in Economic Policy at the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia. Her current main research areas include wage discrimination by gender, well-being, gender budgeting, gender gap in education, gender equity firm's certification. She is the coordinator of Leading Towards Sustainable Gender Equality Plans in research institutions – (H2020-SwafS-2019 –873072).



Claudia Canali is Associate Professor in Information Engineering at the University of Modena and Reggio Emilia. Her research interests focus on cloud/fog computing systems and gender equality in ICT. She is responsible for her university in the Horizon 2020 Project EQUAL-IST Gender Equality Plans for Information Sciences and Technology Research Institutions and for the 'Ragazze Digitali' Summer Camp project.



Dr. Serene Dalati is director of Equality and Sustainability center (E&S center) and head of management department at the faculty of Business administration, at Arab International University, Syria (<https://www.aiu.edu.sy/>). Serene obtained a PhD in Leadership, Organizational Culture and Job satisfaction in 2008 which was preceded by British Chevening scholarship to study for an MBA in Banking and Finance from University of Wales, Bangor in 2002. She teaches for areas in Organizational Behavior, Leadership, Culture and Job satisfaction. Serene has also established experience in Erasmus+ Capacity Building in Higher Education and developed a project application with acronym FREE which has a scope of Female Resilience and Empowerment in Higher Education in the South Mediterranean Region.



Emília Fernandes is an Assistant Professor in the School of Economics and Management of the University of Minho, Portugal, lecturing at undergraduate and post-graduate levels in courses of Management, Human Resources Management. Her publications have been in research

areas related to gender discourses and identities, practices of resistance, entrepreneurship and small and medium enterprises, and professional embodiment.



Dr Rafidah Abd Karim is a Senior Lecturer at Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia. She is also a research fellow at Anadolu University, Turkey. She serves an editorial board, and she is also an active and reviewer for more than 10 numerous distinguished international journals. She has published more than 70 academic articles mainly in digital mind mapping, mobile technology, language learning, and multiple fields in both national and international journals published by various publishers. Her current research projects include gender studies, women studies and indigenous studies funded by Ministry of Higher Education and UiTM, Malaysia.



Regina Leite is an Assistant Professor in Organizational Behaviour and HRM in the Department of Management of the School of Economics and Management at the University of Minho. Former coordinator of the master course in Human Resource Management. Research interests: Work and non-work spheres, privacy in the workplace, sexual and moral harassment, and gender issues.



Dr. Sílvia Donoso López is a gender expert at Institut de Ciències del Mar (ICM/CSIC) in Barcelona. She holds a PhD in Social Anthropology and a Master in Gender and Equality Policies. She has wide experience as a consultant in gender and diversity, formulating and monitoring Equality Public Policies. Her main research interests are institutional violence against women and integrating a gender dimension in STEM research.



Dr Navjot Sandhu an Associate Professor is a currently Deputy Head of College at the Graduate School of Management within the Birmingham City Business School. She has extensive research publication and conference presentations within the domain of financing marginal farmers/ small and medium enterprises microfinancing, innovative technologies, gender, entrepreneurial education. Dr Navjot has experience of supervising and examining PhDs nationally and internationally. Dr Sandhu also completed certifications on Fintech and disruptive technologies from Said Business School, University of Oxford.

Indigenous Women's Perspectives on Gender Equality and Feminism: A Case Study of Jahai Women in Peninsular Malaysia

Rafidah Abd Karim¹, Ramlee Mustapha², Norwaliza Abdul Wahab³, Mohd Hasrol Haffiz Aliasak⁴, Nurul Farhani Che Ghani⁵ and Nurul Shatirah Zainol⁶

¹Academy of Language Studies, Universiti Teknologi MARA Perak Branch Tapah Campus, Perak, Malaysia ²Faculty of Technical and Vocational, Sultan Idris Education University, Perak, Malaysia

³Faculty of Human Development, Sultan Idris Education University, Perak, Malaysia

⁴Faculty of Architecture, Planning, and Surveying, Universiti Teknologi MARA Perak Branch Seri Iskandar Campus, Perak, Malaysia

⁵Academy of Language Studies, Universiti Teknologi MARA Perak Branch Tapah Campus, Perak, Malaysia

⁶Academy of Language Studies, Universiti Teknologi MARA Perak Branch Seri Iskandar Campus, Perak, Malaysia

feida16@uitm.edu.my

drramlee@yahoo.com

norwaliza@fpm.upsi.edu.my

haffiz677@uitm.edu.my

farhani8045@uitm.edu.my

nurulshatirah293@gmail.com

Abstract: Most research on gender equality and feminism have been concentrated on non-indigenous women worldwide, with very few studies focusing specifically on Jahai tribe women. Indigenous women are important in advancing the ideas of feminism and gender equality within their societies. This paper is a case study focuses on the indigenous women which is the Jahai tribe women in Royal Belum State Park, Malaysia. In this case study, we explored Jahai women's perspectives of gender equality and feminism aspects in this indigenous society. This case study employed a quantitative and a qualitative method for data collection. A total of 20 Jahai women were selected to complete a set of questionnaires and five of them were chosen for the in-depth interview. From the study, there were four main themes that emerged including socio-economic equality, equal treatment, gender responsibilities and egalitarian leadership and decision-making prospects. This research study was guided by the Transnational Feminism Theory and Theory of Gender Equality approach. The findings revealed that there was the similarities and distinctions perceptions in gender equality and feminism aspects among Jahai women. The study's conclusions shed new light on the gender equality and feminism interpretations and challenges those women in indigenous societies face. As a result, this study is important for future research since it adds to the body of knowledge about gender equality and concerns pertaining to indigenous women.

Keywords: Gender equality, Feminism, Indigenous Society, Jahai Women

1. Introduction

Many scholars have argued that gender equality is one of the human right issues that has been addressed and acknowledged by many. Feminism is not only vital for women, but also for people of all sexes, genders, social classes, and beliefs, and the belief of feminism only benefits women, is a misconception (Jiménez-Moya et al., 2022). In contrast, gender equality highlights the condition in which every individual, irrespective of gender, enjoys equal rights and chances for personal growth and development. Many past studies related to this indigenous community were conducted, however most of the studies only discussed the issues related to their education, health, and their lifestyles. There is a gap in the corpus of knowledge related to feminism and gender equality within the indigenous community, and very few existing literatures that discussed on the two subjects can be found. Thus, for Malaysia to be able to achieve both feminism and gender equality in its society, as much as the involvement from the majorities of the nation matters, the involvement of indigenous society in realizing this effort is also crucial. Hence, this research attempts to examine the perspectives of feminism and gender equality aspects among Jahai women in indigenous society of Malaysia.

Participatory Strategies to Integrate Gender+ Into Teaching and Research

Fernanda Campanini Vilhena¹; Rita Bencivenga²; María López Bellosso³; Angela Celeste Taramasso⁴ and Cinzia Leone⁵

¹ Faculty of Social and Human Sciences, University of Deusto, Bilbao, Spain

² Department of Chemistry and Industrial Chemistry, University of Genoa, Italy

³ Faculty of Social and Human Sciences, University of Deusto, San Sebastian, Spain

⁴ Department of Civil, Chemical and Environmental Engineer, University of Genoa, Italy

⁵ Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia (IIT), Italy

fernanda.campanini@deusto.es

rita.bencivenga@unige.it

mlbellosso@deusto.es

angela.celeste.taramasso@unige.it

cinzia.leone@iit.it

Abstract: This article explores the use of participatory approaches to promote gender equality in academia, focusing on initiatives implemented in two universities. First, we look at the experience of the University of Deusto (Spain) in developing a handbook to integrate a gender perspective into teaching and research practises through an equality, diversity and inclusion lens (EDI). This initiative, carried out as part of the university's Gender Equality Plan, featured active involvement from scholars representing the faculties of Law, Psychology, Social Sciences and Humanities, Engineering, Business, and Theology, as well as the university's Social Responsibility Unit. It serves as a valuable example of how participatory strategies can effectively engage a wide array of institutional stakeholders in change-oriented actions. Promoting increased participation fosters a sense of ownership of the process and outcomes among stakeholders and strengthens institutional recognition. Second, we analyse the introduction of a "gender+-responsive curriculum" developed at the University of Genoa (Italy), as part of the university's Gender Equality Plan. This initiative seeks to promote inclusion across all disciplinary subjects by incorporating current research that examines subject matters through the lens EDI, where relevant. The pilot implementation was undertaken in an undergraduate course focused on hydraulic engineering and hydrology, drawing guidance from various resources, including academic publications and EU-funded project reports. This initiative highlights the practical implications and challenges associated with interventions aiming to challenge conventional teaching and research norms from an EDI perspective, particularly in STEM fields. By exploring the experiences, obstacles and solutions associated with a gender+-responsive curriculum, we broaden our understanding of academia's potential to advance gender equality, diversity, and inclusion. In examining the two experiences, we emphasise the theoretical underpinnings that underscore the importance of participatory approaches for promoting meaningful and enduring changes in academic institutions. Additionally, we highlight the ongoing challenges associated with implementing such changes and offer initial insights into potential strategies for overcoming these obstacles. Our goal is to ensure that gender and other intersecting diversity factors are not relegated to the edges of institutional priorities, but are instead mainstreamed into wider institutional practices.

Keywords: Gender Equality Plan, Gender+, Equality Diversity and Inclusion, Gender+ Curriculum

1. Introduction

Fostering gender equality and gender mainstreaming constitutes one of the six priorities of the European Research Area, with the incorporation of the gender dimension into Research and Innovation (R&I) content being one of the related objectives. The European Commission has consistently reinforced this commitment since the 2012 communication for "A Reinforced European Research Area Partnership for Excellence and Growth". Currently, Horizon Europe (2021-2027) pursues this objective through two central avenues: the inclusion of the gender dimension in project proposals by default, and the requirement for institutions seeking funding under the programme to establish a Gender Equality Plan (GEP). Among other areas, GEPs are recommended to address the introduction of the gender dimension into teaching and research. Notably, the emphasis extends beyond gender to encompass the consideration of other intersecting socio-economic factors such as race, social class, sexual orientation, and functional diversity (gender+).

Despite the continuous efforts, progress in addressing gender inequalities in teaching and research remains slow across all academic fields. The She Figures 2021 publication shows that, in 2018, women represented only 26.2% of full professors and 33.8% of researchers (EU-28 proportion). Less than 2% of academic publications incorporated a gender dimension, with Engineering and Technology field exhibiting the lowest inclusion rate

(European Commission, 2021). While the publication does not provide specific data on the inclusion of a gender and diversity perspective into teaching methods and curricula, it underscores the significance of interventions that pursue such an objective as a crucial aspect in promoting EDI principles within the overall scientific spectrum, aligning with international goals long established by the United Nations (2011) concerning the need for a gender-sensitive, diverse and inclusive education.

Over the past years, endeavours to drive change in academic organisations have employed a range of strategies to close the gap between planned interventions and actual implementation (Engeli and Mazur 2018), with participatory approaches emerging as valuable mechanisms for enhancing engagement, inclusivity, and collaboration throughout change processes (see Barbieri, Cois and DeSimoni, 2021; Dahmen-Adkins, Karner and Thaler, 2019; Pajares, 2020). Such a strategy aligns with European guidelines to engage a wide range of relevant stakeholders in transformative efforts (EIGE, 2016) and has proven advantageous at all stages of change processes (i.e., gender audit, design, implementation, monitoring, and evaluation). In this paper, we look at two university initiatives to analyse the potential of using participatory approaches to enact initiatives aimed at introducing the gender, diversity, and inclusion dimensions into teaching and research at European universities.

2. Engendering Participatory Approaches

A participatory approach “involves stakeholders in the problem definition and analysis of gender inequality and supports them to identify possible interventions” (Bleijenbergh and van Engen, 2015, p. 431). In the context of gender reform interventions in academia, participatory approaches emphasise active engagement and collaboration among faculty, students, administrators, and other community members, in the formulation and implementation of actions. While techniques may vary according to specific contexts and objectives, participatory strategies often aim to achieve a balance among the interests, privileges, and responsibilities of the involved stakeholders. As Thomson and Rabsch (2021) explain, “the aim of co-creation is to collaborate, ‘create together’, cooperate and share ideas, knowledge, practice, and build on the existing stocks to develop them further” (p. 5). Within this framework, institutional actors are not passive recipients of the outcomes of change initiatives, but rather active agents in the change process, meaning that decisions related to problem identification and intervention strategies emerge from a collective, bottom-up perspective, rather than from the conventionally expected top-down direction.

Previous studies revealed that participatory and co-creation methodologies facilitate the implementation of change-oriented interventions both when applied internally within an institutional team (Bleijenbergh and van Engen 2015) and when employed by transnational and multi-institutional communities of practices (Thomson et al, 2022). Kalpazidou Schmidt and Cacace (2019) assert that cooperation among different stakeholders and the development of transformative actions based on the results of participatory procedures represent “not only the conditions for change, but also a crucial part of the change process itself” (p. 323), as they challenge conventional norms rooted in a centralised logic of power exercise.

Scholars who have explored concrete interventions utilising participatory and feminist approaches within change processes in academic institutions have identified several associated advantages. Notably, studies have noted that these approaches trigger discussions and enable stakeholders to take decisions through negotiation processes, ultimately facilitating a shared understanding of the issues to be addressed and a collective identification of potential interventions. Such a collective view creates ownership of the project’s goals, increases stakeholders’ commitment to the process, and facilitates implementation of actions (Bleijenbergh and van Engen, 2015; Dahmen-Adkins, Karner and Thaler, 2019; Sangiuliano, Canali and Madesi, 2019). In addition, the active engagement of stakeholders located at different institutional positions in the decision-making, planning, and actual implementation of change initiatives has shown to foster collaboration and synergies between the teams leading these initiatives and broader institutional bodies and actors (Kalpazidou Schmidt and Cacace, 2019).

Studies have also highlighted the potential of participatory practices to foster knowledge generation among stakeholders, serving the dual purpose of raising awareness about gender and diversity issues and equipping institutional actors with new competences related to institutional change. When diverse stakeholders work together through co-production methodologies, diverse types of knowledge become more accessible to the broader academic community and more responsive to each other (Dahmen-Adkins, Karner and Thaler, 2019). For instance, Bleijenbergh and van Engen (2015) noted in their research that stakeholders involved in the process of developing a participatory modelling to support gender equality in career development in two Dutch universities were able to “reconcile the contradiction between addressing individuals or addressing

organisational structures" (p. 434). In a distinct context, Espinosa-Fajardo, Bustelo and Velasco (2022) elaborated on this in their examination of an evaluation process of a European-funded project designed to drive institutional change in partner organisations. They found that the adoption of a collaborative and feminist approach in the evaluation facilitated the co-construction of knowledge regarding the change processes between evaluators and the teams in the evaluated organisations, which not only heightened stakeholders' sense of ownership of the evaluation process but also improved their comprehension of how to enact structural change in practice.

Furthermore, as a result of engaging a wide range of stakeholders and listening to different voices, more inclusive and innovative processes may emerge. Gorbacheva, Moumtzi and Stein (2019) offer an interesting illustration of how an IT-supported participatory GEP design enhanced internal awareness about gender equality, triggered creativity in GEP development, and provided insights to create GEPs that actually consider the needs and perceptions of interested community members. In connection with these creative and more inclusive processes, participatory approaches can assist teams responsible for driving change interventions in addressing the challenges and resistance that may arise when enacting change processes (Gorbacheva, Moumtzi and Stein 2019; Palmén and Caprile 2022).

Ultimately, the engagement and commitment of multiple stakeholders, their increased awareness on EDI issues and enhanced knowledge of institutional change practice have not only demonstrated to increase individual stakeholders' validation and of interventions, but also trigger organisational commitment and accountability (Bleijenbergh and van Engen 2015). Together, these advantages would enhance the institutionalisation of change-oriented actions over the long haul and contribute to the sustainability of their outcomes (Kalpazidou Schmidt and Cacace 2019). This positions participatory approaches as a point of interest for those seeking to bridge the gap between theory and practice of gender-sensitive, diverse and inclusive institutional change in academia.

3. University of Deusto: Developing Guidelines for Introducing the Gender Perspective Into Teaching and Research

The University of Deusto is a private, medium-sized and teaching-oriented university located in the Autonomous Community of the Basque Country in Northern Spain. Deusto sits in a context where both national and regional law provides for gender equality as a fundamental principle for public action (including universities and research centers) and mandates GEPs for entities with over 50 employees (Organic Law 2/2007 and Real Decree 6/2019) and the creation of gender Equality Structures for Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) (law 4/2007). In this direction, Law 14/2012 on Science, Technology and Innovation establishes the implementation of the gender perspective as a crosscutting category.

While EDI principles have for long guided the university's mission, with the first GEP being enacted in 2008, it was in 2019 that Deusto assumed the external commitment of implementing a more robust and complex GEP, under the framework of the Horizon 2020 project GEARING-Roles ("Gender Equality Actions in Research Institutions to traNsform Gender ROLES").¹ With the guidance of an expert support partner overseeing a dedicated work package for capacity building, training and support, GEARING-Roles defined a co-creation and participatory approach for the design and implementation of GEPs in the different implementing partner organisations. At Deusto, the document was orchestrated, designed, and executed with the support of a diverse set of institutional stakeholders, who collaboratively created and implemented a GEP containing eight intervention areas and 63 actions.

One specific action provided for the elaboration of self-tailored guidelines for incorporating the gender perspective into teaching and research. Since its inception, the initiative was approached as a space for reflection and collective building. To ensure representation of all faculties (Law, Psychology, Social Sciences and Humanities, Engineering and Business), internal calls were launched for academic staff to integrate the pilot initiative, finally forming three groups with 60 participants in total. The first group, dedicated to the area of teaching and consisting of 17 faculty members, was framed within a call from the Quality and Teaching Innovation Unit for projects that encourage teaching innovation. The participation in such project provided institutional legitimacy to the process and materialised the commitment of the institution, resulting in the participants of the group dedicated to the teaching area having the time invested in the project recognised as

¹ <https://gearingroles.eu/project/>

part of their workload. The second group, focused on the area of research, was formed by 20 researchers, whose participation was driven by their personal interest and commitment, as the group was not under the scope of an official institutional programme and therefore the hours invested were not officially recognised. The third group, composed of 23 members, acted as a control group to provide feedback to the final document.

The process was structured into phases, with the aim of progressively increasing the engagement and competencies of participants. Initially, all participants underwent external training facilitated by experienced institutions, with a focus on integrating the gender dimension into both teaching and research. The teaching sessions encompassed discussions regarding day-to-day classroom practices and dynamics, encouraging participants to analyse their practices through a gender-sensitive lens. During the research sessions, participants could collaboratively review their own research projects, with the group providing insights into how the inclusion of a gender perspective in all research stages would have increased the quality and reach of their findings. Given the involvement of staff from different departments, the training generated a huge interest within the broader academic community, and sessions were replicated in a shorter version to members of the university in the following period. The second stage included monthly meetings of the two groups dedicated to teaching and research. The groups collectively decided the structure of the document, identified indicators and adapted them to the specific context of Deusto, and developed useful examples of how to mainstream gender into teaching and research in different disciplines based on their own professional experiences. Throughout the process, groups were also subdivided into groups by discipline to review and consolidate work out of the common sessions. This work has achieved the common ground for the final draft of the guidelines, which were further reviewed by the Control Group.

The collective effort produced a handbook that serves as a practical guide based on examples of real experience for integrating a gender and diversity perspective into teaching and research activities in various disciplines (for the complete handbook, refer to Pérez, Pando Canteli and García Muñoz, 2021). Notably, the process was as important as the result, as it involved a diversity of stakeholders with varying levels of knowledge and engagement on the issue. This approach fostered active engagement of all the participants and increased their sense of ownership of the outcome, instigating individual and collective reflection processes replicable across the broader university community. Nevertheless, it is essential to note that the creation of the handbook was a first step that continues with the dissemination of the document and the ambition of a systematic implementation at the institutional level. While the creation process was highly successful and constitutes an example of engagement and institutional collaboration, university-wide implementation poses challenges due to the limited current resources for dissemination and training actions.

4. University of Genoa: Introducing a Gender+ Responsive Curriculum

The University of Genoa (UniGE) is a public research university. Founded in 1481, it is among the largest universities in Italy and it is located in the city of Genoa, on the Italian Riviera in the Liguria region of northwestern Italy.

The path that has led Italian universities to promote EDI related policies, including the promotion of gender equality, has gone through the following main stages: the establishment of Equal Opportunities Committees (CPO) in 1991 (Law 125/1991) to propose to university governing bodies and general administration measures and actions aimed at preventing and combating any form of discrimination based on gender, race, ethnic origin, age, disability, religion, personal beliefs, sexual identity and any other condition that may lead to discrimination. In 2010, the “Single Guarantee Committees for Equal Opportunities, the Improvement of Well-being and against Discrimination” (CUG) were made mandatory in all public administrations (Law 183/2010) in order to ensure the realisation of both formal and substantive equality by combating all forms of direct and/or indirect discrimination, including discrimination based on gender. The CUG carries out a Positive Actions Plan (PAP) every three years. Later on, with the guidelines for universities published by both the National Conference of CUGs and the Coordination Group of the Conference of Rectors of Italian Universities (CRUI), the Gender Balance (GB) Report began to spread throughout Italian universities in 2015.

UniGE has set up all these committees and adheres to these documented guidelines. It is worth mentioning that UniGE has not only the Rector’s Delegate for Disability (mandatory by law in Italian Universities) but also a Rector’s delegate for Equal Opportunities. In 2022, UniGE implemented the Gender Equality Plan 2022-2025 in response to the requirements of the Horizon Europe funding programme. PAPs and GBs, that refer also to Sustainable Development Goals, facilitate the transition towards inclusive GEPs as they address several potential grounds for discrimination.

Incorporating a gender perspective into a curriculum involves integrating an EDI dimension into existing curricula and research, which is a common approach in gender equality initiatives. In our case, the unique aspect is the introduction of a gender dimension into a STEM (science, technology, engineering, and mathematics) curriculum. This initiative is one of the actions planned for the second year of the UniGE GEP, Area 4, “the integration of the gender dimension in research and teaching content”, and reinforces its inclusiveness, as recommended by Horizon Europe, which encourages the addition of an EDI perspective rather than focussing solely on gender. The actions include three transversal pilot actions: at department/faculty level (macro), project level (meso) and curriculum level (micro), specifically targeting STEM subjects — areas where the involvement of teaching and research staff is more challenging. The micro action, the gender+ curriculum, was launched after the feasibility of the two previous actions had been demonstrated.

The idea of the gender+ curriculum stems from the belief that in today's educational landscape, it is essential for teachers to be aware of the current state of research in the areas of gender, diversity, and inclusion in the area they teach. Even if educators are not actively engaged in EDI related research, they should provide their students with relevant information to understand this increasingly important aspect across all disciplines. In addition, it is crucial to provide teachers with effective strategies to explore these aspects in the future, e.g., by referring to European Union documents or EU-funded research projects, in particular those at the edge of research, such as those financed by the ERC and the Pathfinder actions and programmes.

The pilot project for this curriculum took place in the second semester of the 2022/2023 academic year and was aimed at the course: Hydraulic Engineering and Hydrology (undergraduate programme: Mechanical Engineering - Energy and Production). The integrative curriculum takes the gender+ dimension into account when formulating educational objectives for the curriculum, defining learning outcomes for teaching and structuring the curriculum. It uses the European Qualifications Framework and the Dublin descriptors. It refers to EDI-friendly EU proposals, research articles, EU reports and recommendations related to the course topics. It will be further tested in other courses (starting with “Impacts of Disasters on Coastal Environments, Risk in Natural Environments” (Master's programme: Engineering for Natural Risk Management) and other disciplines in the coming semester.

A professor, actively involved in the previous activities and convinced of the potential of approaches closely aligned with research and teaching, worked for several months with an EDI expert and a design expert. Together, they identified materials to be integrated into the course, selected content that could be directly linked, created description sheets and ran the pilot course. The EDI expert also took part in the pilot project. This participatory approach allowed for a bottom-up creation that not only convinced the students — who in many cases chose to focus their final project on the integrated EDI topics — but also had a positive impact on other STEM professors. They are now interested in following a similar path.

5. Discussion

Despite contextual disparities, the two observed initiatives underscore the pivotal role of participatory strategies in facilitating successful change initiatives. Actively engaging different stakeholders through participatory approaches instilled a sense of ownership among them, fostering a sustained commitment to both initiatives in subsequent phases. This active and collaborative engagement not only garnered stakeholder endorsement but also internalized and championed the initiatives, leading to more comprehensive processes that embraced diverse insights and experiences, ultimately resulting in more inclusive outcomes.

Notably, at the University of Deusto, the significant representation of the different faculties in the process of creating the handbook facilitated the attainment of institutional recognition for those actively involved. This marks a pivotal shift towards transitioning from gender equality initiatives driven mainly by the personal commitment of certain individuals to institutional responsibility for gender equality matters. By fostering engagement among institutional actors across varied institutional areas and roles, including those in management and decision-making positions, participatory approaches bolster a broader understanding and endorsement of gender equality initiatives throughout diverse hierarchical levels within the institution, thus setting the stage for a more comprehensive and sustainable framework for institutional change. At the University of Genoa, the pioneering Gender+ curriculum has strengthened the agency of those involved in developing and trialling it. The experience created a link in their perception of the GEP between what Horizon Europe calls for, which is often seen as just a tick box exercise, and a concrete action that combines their power to choose the learning path they offer with a new, inclusive meaning added to the subjects they teach.

Both initiatives are geared towards change and challenge traditional teaching and research methods. In both cases, the application of a participatory approach stimulated discussions on content, format and implementation strategies and encouraged individual and collective reflection on teaching and research practises. The resultant documents show a variety of examples of practise in different areas of knowledge. This initial step paves the way for disseminating the two initiatives and their systematic integration into the university's teaching and research landscape.

Their success depends on a number of factors that cannot be taken for granted, such as, for the handbook, institutional support and resources, representation and commitment from different departments and capacity building and, for the curriculum, the availability of a multidisciplinary working group and the interest of academics to change the traditional approach and embark on a learning journey in new directions. In both cases, it was a successful strategy to rely on the gender+ and equality agenda at European and national level and on internal negotiations (utilising formal and informal spaces) to overcome an initial period of apparent inertia, as this was seen as a predictable part of change processes to achieve the planned objectives. Participatory strategies facilitated the creation of new negotiation spaces, deviating from the traditional approach of EDI initiatives being driven by a select group of dedicated actors (colloquially the 'equality and diversity people'). This shift redistributed responsibility and ownership of change processes across wider groups of the academic community, including managers and decision-makers.

Certainly, resistance is a major obstacle that is still difficult to overcome. Resistance is often related to refusal to change, but also to self-preservation and self-referentiality of those who have been sitting in the so-called ivory tower for many years and tend to repeat or defend. Initial insights for overcoming implementation challenges suggest the need for targeted dissemination campaigns, highlighting the benefits and potential impact of gender-sensitive institutional changes both as a social justice matter and a form of complying with national and European norms. Moreover, the challenges can be overcome with constant action and strategies leveraging internal networks, seeking external funding, and establishing strategic alliances with advocacy groups.

Last but not least, both the handbook and the gender-specific curriculum were developed at university level as part of the GEP. Consequently, the negotiations and strategies took place at institutional level, offering a replicable model for implementation in other universities.

6. Conclusions

We acknowledge that the main limitation in this study is that both initiatives are still at an early implementation stage. At the University of Deusto, the participatory process led comprehensive guidelines for integrating gender into teaching and research across various disciplinary areas, yet their practical application remains in an initial phase, contingent upon factors beyond the commitment of those who engaged in the creation of the document. The case at UniGE, in turn, emerged as an initiative embedded in the GEP and driven by a smaller group of committed professors and experts in the context of a specific course in the STEM field, and is currently being tested in other university courses. Although both initiatives produced satisfactory results, their reproducibility has yet to be demonstrated, especially in what regards the implementation of final outcomes at wider institutional level.

Nonetheless, these initiatives produce promising opportunities for future research. The participatory nature that underpinned the creation of the handbook and the inclusive curriculum opens up the possibility of exploring the effectiveness of such collaborative approaches in academic settings. Investigating the lasting impact of these initiatives on teaching practises, learning outcomes and institutional dynamics is an interesting approach for research. Moreover, investigating the scalability and adaptability of these models in different educational contexts could provide valuable insights into their wider applicability.

Furthermore, exploring the long-term impact on student engagement, academic achievement and inclusivity within the learning environment would make an important contribution to educational research. Assessing the development of practises resulting from the integration of the handbook and the implementation of the inclusive curriculum could provide valuable data on their transformative potential in higher education over time.

Additionally, comparative studies between institutions implementing similar participatory frameworks versus traditional top-down approaches could shed light on the comparative benefits and challenges associated with collaborative curriculum development. Finally, exploring the role of technological advances in enhancing participatory approaches and facilitating widespread adoption is an interesting area for future investigation.

These avenues hold immense potential to expand knowledge and provide information on best practises in educational innovation and inclusive curriculum development.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to express their gratitude to the peer reviewers for their insightful contributions.

This article has received funding from the GEARING-Roles European Union's Horizon 2020 projects, under grant agreement 824536.

References

- Barbieri, B. Cois, E. and De Simone, S. (2021) Dealing with Resistances along the Development of a Brand-New Gender Equality Plan: The Case of the University of Cagliari, in Agodi, M. C., Lauria, A., and Picardi, I. (eds.) *Gendered Academia: Inequality and Inclusiveness in Changing Italian Academic Governance*. Napoli: fedOA Press, Unina University Press, pp 35-52. <https://doi.org/10.6093/978-88-6887-115-4>
- Bleijenbergh, I. and van Engen, M. (2015) "Participatory Modeling to support Gender Equality: The Importance of Including Stakeholders", *Equality, Diversity and Inclusion*, Vol 34, No. 5, pp 422-438. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EDI-06-2013-0045>
- Dahmen-Adkins, J. Karner, S. and Thaler, A. (2019) 'Co-producing Gender Equality Knowledge in a European Project Setting', in *Critical Issues in Science, Technology and Society Studies – STS Conference*. Graz, Austria, pp 50-66. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3217/978-3-85125-668-0-04>
- EIGE (2016) 'European Institute for Gender Equality, Gender Equality in Academia and Research GEAR tool'. Available at: <https://eige.europa.eu/gendermainstreaming/toolkits/gear> (Accessed 7 November 2023)
- Engeli, I. and Mazur, A. (2018) Taking Implementation Seriously in Assessing Success: The Politics of Gender Equality Policy", *European Journal of Politics and Gender*, Vol 1, No. 1-2, pp 111-129. <https://doi.org/10.1332/251510818X15282097548558>
- European Commission (2021) Directorate-General for Research and Innovation. She Figures 2021 – Gender in Research and Innovation: Statistics and Indicators. Publications Office of the European Union. <https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2777/06090>
- Espinosa-Fajardo, J., Bustelo, M. and Velasco M. (2022) "¿Cómo evaluar el cambio estructural de género en universidades? Aprendizajes de una Práctica Colaborativa y Feminista", *IgualdadES*, Vol 7, pp 413-440. <https://doi.org/10.18042/cepc/IgdES.7.03>
- Gorbacheva, E., Moutmtzi, V. and Stein, A. (2019) 'An Innovative IT-Supported Approach Facilitating Co-Design of Tailored Gender Equality Plans: The CrowdEquality Idea Crowdsourcing Platform', in Sangiuliano, M., and Cortesi, A. (eds.) *Institutional Change for Gender Equality in Research*. Venice: Edizioni Ca' Foscari, pp 47-58. <http://doi.org/10.30687/978-88-6969-334-2/003>
- Kalpazidou Schmidt, E. and Cacace, M. (2019) Setting up a dynamic framework to activate gender equality structural transformation in research organizations. *Science and Public Policy*, Vol 46, No. 3, pp 321-338. <https://doi.org/10.1093/scipol/scy059>
- Pajares, L. (2020) 'Participatory Methods to Support Institutional Change for Gender Equality' [PowerPoint presentation]. *Gender Equality Academy*. Available at: <https://ge-academy.eu/participatory-methods-for-ge-in-science/> (Accessed 7 November 2023)
- Palmén, R. and Caprile, M. (2022) 'Relevance of a CoP for a Reflexive Gender Equality Policy: A Structural Change Approach', in Wroblewski, A., and Palmén, R. (eds.) *Overcoming the Challenge of Structural Change in Research Organisations*. Bingley: Emerald Publishing Limited, pp 53-72 <http://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-80262-119-820221004>
- Pérez, M. P., Pando Canteli, M. J. and García Muñoz, I. (2021) *A Guide to Gender Mainstreaming in Teaching and Research*. University of Deusto. ISBN: 978-84-1325-177-6
- Sangiuliano, M., Canali, C. and Madesi, V. (2019) 'Shaping Gender Equality Policies in IST-ICT Research Institutions: Reflective Negotiations from the Participatory Audit to Design of Gender Equality Plans', in Sangiuliano, M., and Cortesi, A. (eds.) *Institutional Change for Gender Equality in Research*. Venice: Edizioni Ca' Foscari, pp 15-30. <http://dx.doi.org/10.30687/978-88-6969-334-2/001>
- Thomson, A., Palmén, R., Reidl, S., Barnard, S., Beranek, S., Dainty, A. R. J. and Hassan, T. M. (2022) 'Fostering Collaborative Approaches to Gender Equality Interventions in Higher Education and Research: The Case of Transnational and Multi-Institutional Communities of Practice', *Journal of Gender Studies*, Vol 31, No. 1, pp 36-54. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09589236.2021.1935804>
- Thomson, A. and Rabsch, K. (Eds.) (2021) 'Community of Practice Co-Creation Toolkit', *Communities of PrACTice for Accelerating Gender Equality and Institutional Change in Research and Innovation across Europe (ACT) project, funded under Horizon 2020, Grant Agreement No. 788204*. Available: <https://lac.act-on-gender.eu/tools/toolkits> (Accessed 7 November 2023)
- United Nations (2011) Commission on the Status of Women (CSW). Access and Participation of Women and Girls in Education, Training, Science and Technology, Including for the Promotion of Women's Equal Access to Full Employment and Decent Work. Draft Agreed Conclusions, submitted by the Chair of the Commission on the Status of

Women on the basis of informal consultations. https://digitallibrary.un.org/record/699365/files/E_CN.6_2011_L.6-EN.pdf