




















Review Article

Management of Bullous Pemphigoid in Special Populations: A Narrative Review of the Literature

Giulia Gasparini ^{1,2} **Roberto Russo** ^{1,2} **Laura Calabrese** ^{3,4} **Martina D'Onghia** ³
Giovanni Di Zenzo ⁵ **Dario Didona** ⁶ **Martina Merli** ⁷ **Pietro Quaglino** ⁷
Roberto Maglie ⁸ **Emiliano Antiga** ⁸ **Marzia Caproni** ⁸ **Clara De Simone** ^{9,10}
Luisa Boeti ⁹ **Gianluca Avallone** ^{11,12} **Luca Valtellini** ^{11,12}
Angelo Valerio Marzano ^{11,12} **Vincenzo Maione** ¹³ **Piergiacomo Calzavara Pinton** ¹³
and Emanuele Cozzani ^{1,2}

¹Department of Health Sciences (DISSAL), Section of Dermatology, University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy

²Dermatology Unit, Ospedale Policlinico San Martino IRCCS, Genoa, Italy

³Department of Medical, Surgical and Neurological Sciences, Dermatology Unit, University of Siena, Siena, Italy

⁴Institute of Dermatology, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy

⁵Molecular and Cell Biology Laboratory, Istituto Dermopatico dell'Immacolata IRCCS, Rome, Italy

⁶Rare Diseases Center, Istituto Dermopatico dell'Immacolata IRCCS, Rome, Italy

⁷Department of Medical Sciences, Dermatology Clinic, University of Turin, Turin, Italy

⁸Department of Health Sciences, Section of Dermatology,

AUSL Toscana Centro, Rare Diseases Unit, European Reference Network-Skin Member, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

⁹Department of Medicine and Translational Surgery, Section of Dermatology, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy

¹⁰Department of Medical and Surgery Sciences, Dermatology Unit, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, Rome, Italy

¹¹Dermatology Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy

¹²Department of Pathophysiology and Transplantation, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy

¹³Dermatology Department, ASST Spedali Civili di Brescia, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy

Correspondence should be addressed to Giulia Gasparini; giulia.gasparini@unige.it

Received 13 March 2025; Revised 2 September 2025; Accepted 6 November 2025

Academic Editor: Suraiya Saleem

Copyright © 2025 Giulia Gasparini et al. Dermatologic Therapy published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Bullous pemphigoid (BP) mostly affects elderly patients who have age-related comorbidities, and BP itself is associated with neurologic comorbidities independently of the patients' age. Identifying comorbidities in patients with newly diagnosed BP is important to define the prognosis, to choose the best therapeutic strategy, and to plan follow-ups. Comorbidities are associated with polypharmacy, and drug-induced BP should be always ruled out. Topical or systemic corticosteroids (CSs) represent the mainstay of treatment for BP. CS-sparing agents might be useful in frail patients and include dapsone, tetracyclines, methotrexate (MTX), mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), azathioprine (AZA), rituximab, omalizumab, dupilumab, intravenous immunoglobulins (IVIGs), and immunoadsorption. In this complex therapeutic scenario, clinicians should tailor the therapeutic approach accordingly to the patient's characteristics. Preexisting and newly arising therapy-related comorbidities should be monitored during the patient's follow-up.

1. Introduction

Bullous pemphigoid (BP) is the most common autoimmune bullous disease (AIBD), and its prevalence increases with age [1, 2]. On average, in Europe, patients develop BP around the age of 80 years [3, 4]. Therefore, BP mostly affects a subset of patients who are frail and have age-related comorbidities, including neurological, cardiovascular, metabolic, thromboembolic, neoplastic, respiratory, ocular, or osteoporotic conditions [1, 2]. On the other hand, other comorbidities, such as neurologic diseases, are directly associated with BP independently of the patient's age.

Some comorbidities in BP patients have been associated with a poor prognosis; a meta-analysis highlighted the association between dementia, stroke, heart disease, and diabetes mellitus and a greater risk of mortality [5–7].

Identifying comorbidities in patients with newly diagnosed BP is important to define the prognosis, to choose the best therapeutic strategy, and to plan follow-ups.

This paper encloses a narrative review of the literature and the clinical experience of the Italian Group for Cutaneous Immunopathology of the Italian Society of Dermatology and Venereology (SIDeMaST) in the management of special populations of patients with BP.

2. General Considerations

2.1. Baseline Assessments. A thorough anamnesis, an in-depth physical examination, and specific work-up are recommended to identify possible comorbidities prior to starting treatment (Table 1) [3]. Neurological conditions, diabetes, osteoporosis, cardiovascular diseases, malignancies, chronic kidney diseases (CKDs), chronic liver diseases, latent infections, and ocular diseases should be investigated [1, 2, 9].

Comorbidities are associated with polypharmacy, and drug-induced BP should be always ruled out. Offending drugs may include commonly used medications. The strongest evidence for drug-induced BP was seen with gliptins (especially vildagliptin), PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors, loop diuretics, penicillin, and derivatives [10], as well as aldosterone antagonists, anticholinergics, and dopaminergics [7, 11]. New drug intake in the preceding 6 months should be considered, but even though longer delay is possible for gliptins and immune checkpoint inhibitors [7, 11, 12]. Once culprit drugs are discontinued, patients still might require immunosuppressive therapy, as the autoimmune phenomenon rapidly becomes independent of the initial trigger.

The overall well-being of BP patients should also be assessed. Risk factors for mortality in BP include a poor general condition and a low Karnofsky score [7]. Moreover, clinicians should evaluate the patient's level of dependency in terms of activities of daily living and the availability of support given by caregivers. These aspects may determine the choice of treatment. For instance, topical treatments are difficult to apply for a person with impaired mobility [13].

Immunization status should be checked before starting long-term immunosuppressive treatment. Immunosuppressive drugs are associated with higher risks of developing

severe forms of infectious diseases, and elderly patients tend to have age-related immune system impairment. Patients receiving for 2 weeks or more prednisone ≥ 20 mg daily, methotrexate (MTX) ≥ 0.4 mg/kg/weekly, azathioprine (AZA) ≥ 3 mg/kg/daily, rituximab, mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), or combination therapies are significantly immunocompromised and should be immunized accordingly [14, 15]. Patients should be vaccinated against seasonal influenza, pneumococcal disease, and SARS-CoV-2 [3].

2.2. Therapeutic Considerations. Comorbidities should be considered when deciding on the best therapeutic strategy. Senior patients benefit from a conservative and tailored therapeutic approach.

High-potency corticosteroid (CS), such as clobetasol propionate 0.05% cream, is the best option, especially in mild localized forms, but they can also be used for non-localized mild-moderate forms or in severe forms of BP [3, 16, 17]. However, if high-potency CSs are applied to an extensive body surface area, they may have systemic side effects [18] and should be slowly tapered over 4 months or longer [7, 19]. Moreover, applying topical CS over extensive areas might require the elderly the assistance of a caregiver.

Systemic CS, namely, prednisone at the starting dose of 0.5 mg/kg/day, is the first choice [3, 18, 20, 21]. This dose is rather well tolerated in patients with a Karnofsky score of ≥ 70 ; however, elderly patients might have poorer global conditions [22] and long-term administration requires strict monitoring [23].

Traditional CS-sparing agents in BP include dapsone, tetracyclines, MTX, MMF, and AZA. Newer options include rituximab, omalizumab, dupilumab, and intravenous immunoglobulins (IVIGs) [3].

Dapsone warrants caution in patients with cardiovascular diseases, and its efficacy in BP remains overall doubtful [24, 25]. Low-dose MTX showed the best risk-benefit profile in the elderly with atopic dermatitis among immunosuppressive drugs [26], but patients should be carefully monitored as severe adverse events, including deaths, are linked to incorrect daily, not weekly, administration [27]. AZA in monotherapy has a high frequency of adverse events in the elderly [28], and it has been linked to the highest risk of malignancy among the immunosuppressive agents [29]. MMF at reduced dosage is recommended, and caution is needed for patients with impaired heart or liver function and for increased risk of infection [26]. IVIGs are a generally safe option in recalcitrant BP [7], but side effects include acute renal failure and thromboembolic events. A recent meta-analysis on IVIG did not demonstrate an elevated risk attributable to IVIG [30]; however, an increased risk of venous thromboembolism in patients with BP has been described [31]. Notably, omalizumab and dupilumab are very interesting options as they are immunomodulant rather than immunosuppressant drugs. Omalizumab is currently off-label for BP treatment, but its efficacy was reported in case reports and confirmed by a retrospective multicenter study [32–34]. Dupilumab is the most promising immunomodulant drug and has been recently approved by the FDA. The

TABLE 1: Baseline assessment of comorbidities in newly diagnosed patients with BP.

<i>Patient History</i>
Medications. Paying special attention to BP-inducing drugs: aldosterone antagonists, dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors, PD-1/PDL-1 inhibitors, anticholinergics, and dopaminergic medications.
Short-term memory loss, family history of degenerative neurological disorders (e.g., Alzheimer's disease)
Clinical fracture risk factor assessment: previous fractures, family history of fracture, smoking history, alcohol use, fall history, height loss
<i>Clinical Examination</i>
Detailed clinical neurological examination
Mini-Mental State Examination or Montreal Cognitive Assessment
Karnofsky Performance Status Scale
Body mass index
Blood pressure measurement and signs of congestive heart failure (e.g., swelling of legs, ankles, and feet)
<i>Laboratory analyses</i>
CBC—complete blood count
Transaminases, gamma-GT, alkaline phosphatase, and bilirubin
Creatinine, blood electrolytes, urine analysis
Fasting glucose glycated hemoglobin* (in known diabetics to better evaluate disease control)
Serology* for hepatitis B, hepatitis C, and HIV, QuantiFERON if immunosuppressive therapy is planned
25-hydroxy vitamin D, calcemia*
<i>Imaging</i>
Osteodensitometry if CS therapy is planned \geq 3 months
Chest X-ray* if immunosuppressive therapy is planned
Echocardiogram*
<i>Referrals</i>
Vaccination center, to assess baseline immunization status before starting systemic immunosuppressive treatments
Neurologist*, if undiagnosed neurological conditions are found at clinical examination
Endocrinologist (diabetes specialist)*, if uncontrolled diabetic disease and/or systemic CS is planned or if gliptin-induced BP is suspected, in order to make appropriate therapeutic adjustments
Rheumatologist or endocrinologist (osteoporosis specialist)* or, if long-term systemic CS therapy is planned and a more accurate fracture risk assessment is needed for selecting osteoporosis therapy
Cardiologist*, if preexisting cardiovascular comorbidities are present and/or systemic CS, dapsona or IVIGs are planned
Nephrologist* if preexisting renal disease
Ophthalmologist*, preexisting ocular disorders, including glaucoma, cataract, and systemic CS therapy, are planned
Geriatrician* if poor general condition and multiple comorbidities

*In selected cases.

recommended dosage for adult patients is an initial dose of 600 mg (two 300 mg injections), followed by 300 mg given every other week (Q2W) [Available at: <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/opdlisting/oodp/detailedIndex.cfm?cfgridkey=697319> on 20 August 2025]. The LIBERTY-BP ADEPT, a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial to evaluate the efficacy and safety of dupilumab in adults with BP, was recently completed with promising results [35, 36].

In this complex therapeutic scenario, clinicians should tailor the therapeutic approach accordingly to the patient's characteristics (Table 2).

2.3. Monitoring and Follow-Up. Preexisting and newly arising therapy-related comorbidities should be monitored during the patient's follow-up (Table 2). Monitoring should be performed biweekly until the disease is controlled and subsequently monthly for the following 3 months or 3 times per year until the treatment is stopped [3]. Management and follow-up of subjects with BP and comorbidity may involve multiple health professionals, including dermatologists, general practitioners, cardiologists, endocrinologists, neurologists, nephrologists, infectious disease specialists,

ophthalmologists, and nurses. In addition, caregivers or nurses are relevant for the patients' hygiene and dressings for skin lesions.

3. Specific Considerations

3.1. Neurological Disorders. The association of BP with neurological disorders is the strongest and well documented [37, 38], especially for Alzheimer's disease [39], Parkinson's disease [39–41], dementia [40–42], stroke [41, 43], and multiple sclerosis [44]. Clinical neurological examination and brief cognitive assessment of mental status [e.g., Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) or Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA)] should be considered as a baseline assessment in patients with BP [45, 46]. Repeated assessments should be encouraged at each follow-up visit. Notably, attention should be paid to levels of circulating eosinophils. Higher serum levels of eosinophil-derived neurotoxin have been found in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis as compared to healthy controls [47], while hypereosinophilia was described in patients with dementia [48] and Alzheimer's disease [49]. Medications used to treat such neurological diseases, including anticholinergics and

TABLE 2: Therapeutic considerations, baseline assessment, and follow-up based on the comorbidities associated with BP.

Comorbidity	Therapeutic considerations	Baseline assessments	Follow-up
Neurological comorbidities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Caregiver involvement for medications and topical CS • Oral CS might worsen dementia and psychiatric symptoms • Consider with caution in patients with cognitive impairment: • AZA • MMF • MTX 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anticholinergic and dopaminergic medications may be linked to drug-induced BP, MMSE, or MoCA • Karnofsky score • Eosinophilic blood count • Referral to neurologist* 	<p>Consider repeating*:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Neurological examination • MMSE or MoCA • Karnofsky score
Diabetes mellitus	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High-potency topical CS may lead to significant systemic absorption • Oral CS at the lowest dose and for the shortest duration possible • Consider steroid-sparing agents • High-potency topical CS may lead to significant systemic absorption • Oral CS at the lowest dose and for the shortest duration possible • Consider steroid-sparing agents • Consider prophylaxis for osteoporosis in patients requiring oral CS treatment ≥ 3 months: • Bisphosphonates • calcium supplements (1000–1200 mg/day) • Vitamin D (600–800 IU/day) intake 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gliptins are linked to drug-induced BP • Fasting glucose • Referral to diabetologist* • Clinical fracture risk factor assessment • 25-hydroxy vitamin D, calcemia • Osteodensitometry 	<p>If systemic CS ≥ 3 months, repeat every 3–6 months:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fasting glucose • HbA1C test <p>If long-term oral CS therapy, consider annually:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Osteodensitometry • 25-hydroxy vitamin D, calcemia • After completing CS treatment, bisphosphonates are needed until bone mineral density normalization
Osteopenia or Osteoporosis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Systemic CS (use with caution or avoid in patients with severe congestive heart failure) • Consider steroid-sparing agents: • MMF (safe in heart transplant patients) • MTX (possible cardioprotective role) • Consider with caution or avoid using as steroid-sparing agents: • dapsona (if coronary artery disease or congestive heart failure) • high osmolarity IVIGs (if congestive heart failure) • rituximab (risk of angina, ACS, arrhythmia) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aldosterone and loop diuretics are linked to drug-induced BP • Clinical assessment (heart rate, blood pressure measurement, signs of congestive heart failure) • Echocardiogram* • Referral to cardiologist* 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Home blood pressure diary at the beginning of CS therapy
Cardiovascular comorbidities			

TABLE 2: Continued.

Comorbidity	Therapeutic considerations	Baseline assessments	Follow-up
	<p>Systemic CS (caution in using high doses)</p> <p>Consider steroid-sparing agents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Doxycycline • Dapsone • IVIGs (anticancer activity) • Omalizumab 		
Solid or hematological tumors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dupilumab (used in melanoma patient with ICI-induced BP) <p>Caution using high-dose steroid-sparing agents (increased risk of infections and impact on fighting cancer):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AZA • MMF • MTX 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider age-appropriate cancer screening as for general population • Referral to oncologist* 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If long-term use of AZA or MMF, consider annual screening for skin tumors
Chronic Kidney Disease	<p>Consider steroid-sparing agents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • dapsone • doxycycline • MMF (safe CKD; eGFR < 25 mL/min/1.73 m²) • omalizumab • dupilumab • rituximab <p>Consider with caution as steroid-sparing agents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MTX (use low dose: 5–10 mg/weekly) • AZA (lowest dose possible) • IVIGs (risk of AKI in CKD) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CBC—complete blood count, creatinine, blood electrolytes, albumin • Urinalysis • Referral to nephrologist* 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Close monitoring of baseline blood test
Chronic Liver Diseases	<p>Consider steroid-sparing agents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • doxycycline • AZA • MMF • omalizumab • dupilumab <p>Consider with caution or avoid as steroid-sparing agents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • dapsone (higher risk of cholestatic injury) • MTX (contraindicated in patients with severe hepatic insufficiency or bilirubin levels > 5 mg/dL; use with caution if ARLD or SLD) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transaminases, GGT, ALP, and bilirubin levels • markers for HBV and HCV infections • Referral to hepatologist* 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • During treatment with MTX, liver function is monitored every 2 weeks, then monthly for the first 2 months, and every 3 months thereafter • During treatment with dapsone, liver function tests, monthly in the first 3–6 months and then every 2–4 months • mycophenolate, azathioprine, doxycycline, routine monitoring of liver function every 3 months

TABLE 2: Continued.

Comorbidity	Therapeutic considerations	Baseline assessments	Follow-up
HIV infection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider steroid-sparing agents: dapsone (useful in prophylaxis of PJP) doxycycline, omalizumab dupilumab IVIgs Consider with caution as steroid-sparing agents: AZA MMF MTX 	HIV antigen/antibody	
Tuberculosis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider steroid-sparing agents: dapsone doxycycline, omalizumab dupilumab IVIgs Consider with caution as steroid-sparing agents: AZA (moderate risk of reactivation) MTX (high risk of reactivation) MMF 	IGRA	
Pregnancy and Lactation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clobetasol propionate 0.05% (avoid nipple area in breastfeeding women) Prednisone \leq 20 mg/day Consider as steroid-sparing agents: Dapsone AZA rituximab IVIG plasmapheresis Contraindicated: tetracyclines MTX MMF 		
Childhood	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider with caution as steroid-sparing agents: rituximab Contraindicated: tetracyclines age < 12 years 		

Note: ALP = alkaline phosphatase; ASLD = steatotic liver disease; AZA = azathioprine; CS = corticosteroids; HbA1C = glycated hemoglobin; IVIGs = intravenous immunoglobulins; MMF = mycophenolate mofetil; MoCA = Montreal Cognitive Assessment; MTX = methotrexate.
 Abbreviations: ACS = acute coronary syndrome; ARLD = alcohol-related liver disease; GGT = gamma-glutamyl transferase; IGRA = interferon gamma release assay; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination; PJP = Pneumocystis jiroveci pneumonia.
 *In selected cases.

dopaminergic drugs, may increase the risk of BP [37], and drug-induced BP should be considered in these cases [12]. Neurological patients may have impaired or altered cognitive functions, making it difficult to evaluate the intensity of pruritus and drug tolerance. Additionally, adequate compliance with treatment can be challenging. Therefore, it is important to adequately inform, support, and engage relatives or caretakers in managing the disease, as well as schedule more frequent follow-up visits [13]. Systemic steroids should be used with caution as they can worsen dementia and psychiatric symptoms [50]. For this reason, systemic CS should be preferably associated with steroid-sparing agents. On the other hand, systemic immunosuppressive agents should be administered by nurses or caregivers in patients with dementia or altered cognitive function, as incorrect administration may lead to severe adverse events [28].

3.2. Diabetes Mellitus. DM is one of the three most common comorbidities associated with BP [51], and it represents a potential risk factor for BP onset [52, 53]. DM prevalence among BP patients has increased from 13.2% to 25.2% over recent decades [54], probably due to the introduction of dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibitors (gliptins), as they are possible BP-inducing drugs. BP should be considered drug-induced if the patient is taking dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibitors [55], and hypoglycemic treatment should be modified [56]. Moreover, due to CS therapy, new-onset DM can manifest during BP treatment [52, 53]. Careful attention should be paid to identify clinical signs of diabetes, and it is advisable to analyze impaired fasting glucose or impaired glucose tolerance prior to the initiation of chronic CS (Table 1) and in subsequent follow-up visits. A referral to a diabetologist is suggested in case of i) uncontrolled diabetic disease and ii) gliptin-induced BP to make appropriate therapeutic adjustments. Also, a nutrition specialist can be useful.

Individuals with BP and diabetes tend to have more severe symptoms and to be more resistant to treatment, particularly if the DM is poorly controlled [57]. For this reason, BP patients with DM represent a management challenge to the clinician.

Many experts suggest reducing the initial doses of oral CS or, if possible, not using any oral CS [24] (Table 2). Immunomodulating therapy such as MTX, AZA, and MMF is valuable steroid-sparing agent in these patients [3]. In a good general condition of the patient, when self-application is feasible or external help is available, it could be preferable to introduce topical CS therapy [3]. Clinicians should be cautious of possible systemic absorption of high-potency topical steroids (Table 2). Omalizumab or dupilumab could help in these therapeutic challenges (Table 2).

3.3. Osteopenia or Osteoporosis. The incidence of osteoporosis in BP patients ranges from 5.5% to 12.1% [58, 59]. BP is associated with an increased risk of osteoporosis and pathological fractures [60], primarily due to the long-term use of systemic CS, but also because of older age at onset,

chronic inflammation, and low vitamin D levels found in these patients [60, 61]. The highest rate of bone loss occurs within the first 3–6 months of systemic CS therapy [62]. Daily doses of prednisolone ≥ 2.5 mg, or its equivalent, significantly increased the risk of spine and hip fractures [63]. Systemic CS therapy should be at the lowest dose and for the shortest duration possible, if necessary, with the aid of steroid-sparing agents. Additionally, it is important to note that prolonged use of potent topical steroids can also be harmful to bone health [62, 64]. It is essential to identify any preexisting risk factors or osteoporosis diagnosis, as severe osteoporosis contraindicates oral CS [62]. Clinical fracture risk factor assessment involves dose/duration/pattern of CS use, advanced age, low body mass index, previous fracture, family history of fracture, smoking history, alcohol use, fall history, and height loss. Osteodensitometry should be performed if long-term systemic CS therapy is planned for at least 3 months [3, 63]. Based on this, the patient's fracture risk should be assessed, and calcium and vitamin D levels should be checked to ensure adequate intake and identify any deficiency that could increase bone loss risk [62].

Osteoporosis prophylaxis is advisable if the expected duration of systemic CS is more than 3 months. BP patients should be advised on general measures for osteoporosis prevention, including weight-bearing and cardiovascular exercise, avoiding excessive alcohol use and smoking, and reducing risk for falls [62]. Vitamin D and calcium supplementation are recommended at the initiation of CS treatment [65]. An adequate calcium (1000–1200 mg/day) and vitamin D (600–800 IU/day) intake should be ensured to keep serum vitamin D levels > 30 ng/mL [66]. Treatment with bisphosphonates is recommended in patients at risk (postmenopausal women, men > 50 years on glucocorticoid treatment > 3 months) to prevent osteoporosis [67, 68]. An endocrinology or rheumatology referral can assist in patient's risk assessment and in selecting the best therapy for osteoporosis [63]. After completing CS treatment, patients should continue bisphosphonate therapy until their bone mineral density normalizes [62].

Serum 25-hydroxy vitamin D levels should also be measured each year [69].

3.4. Cardiovascular Comorbidities. Cardiovascular issues are a significant comorbidity for elderly patients and become even more critical in those with BP. Cardiovascular diseases might be underlying or could be potentially accentuated by therapy. Also, BP patients have been reported to be at higher risk of venous thromboembolism [31], especially during the acute phase; therefore, they should be carefully checked for signs of deep venous thrombosis during their baseline and follow-up visits. Clinical assessment including blood pressure, heart rate, and signs of congestive heart failure can help identify cardiovascular comorbidities. In such cases, an echocardiography might be advisable before initiation of therapy with either systemic CS, dapsone, or IVIGs [3, 70]. CS is the primary therapeutic approach for BP patients, and the literature indicates an increased risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) with oral CS even at low

doses [71]. Patients should be advised to monitor blood pressure at home and keep a blood pressure diary when starting CS.

Diuretics, especially aldosterone antagonists, have been identified as potential triggers of drug-induced BP [12]. Patients with hypertension or cardiac insufficiency taking such medications should be referred to cardiologic evaluation to modify therapy accordingly.

In patients with congestive heart failure, CS with mineralocorticoid activity should be avoided or used at a minimal dose [24].

Dapsone has a low risk of methemoglobinemia in the general population, but overdose can lead to major cardiovascular events [72]. MTX appears to have a cardioprotective role in rheumatoid arthritis, and MMF has a high cardiologic safety profile in heart transplant patients. While these data cannot be directly applied to BP patients, they may support pharmacological choices [73, 74]. Rituximab, on the other hand, can cause angina, acute coronary syndrome, and arrhythmias in patients with a history of cardiovascular disease, though its detailed cardiotoxicity profile remains unclear in the medical literature [75]. Concentrated IVIG preparations that require a high volume of infusions can lead to volume overload in patients with cardiac conditions. Also, high sodium-containing products should be used cautiously. [76].

3.5. Solid or Hematological Tumors. Since the frequency of BP and cancer increases with age, clinicians frequently face with BP patients with underlying malignancies [77]. Some studies found an increased incidence of malignancy in BP patients [78–81] or associations with hematological malignancies [9, 81]. On the other hand, several studies including a comparative statistical evaluation did not confirm an association between malignancy and BP [83]. The debate is further augmented by the fact that, unlike paraneoplastic pemphigus and its unique antigenic profile [84], there is yet no evidence of an immunologically distinct subset of BP, which is specifically linked with cancer [77].

Although the potential association between BP and malignancies remains inconsistent, checking for an underlying neoplasm in line with the patient's age may be recommended [83].

The management of a BP patient with a coexisting cancer is challenging. High doses of systemic CS and conventional immunosuppressive drugs (e.g., MTX, AZA, and MMF) should be used with caution and be evaluated together with the oncologist, as they increase the risk of infections and impact the fighting of the immune system against cancer [85]. Topical CS and antibiotics such as doxycycline and dapsone may thus be preferred as first-line therapy for patients with mild–moderate disease. Among targeted therapies, IVIGs are a valuable choice due to their anticancer activity [86]; their efficacy in BP has been demonstrated in clinical trials [87]. Despite being currently off-label, drugs targeting Type 2 immunity, including omalizumab and dupilumab, are generally safe in this medically complex situation [88, 89]. Interestingly, dupilumab showed efficacy in melanoma patients with immune checkpoint inhibitor-induced BP [90], an

increasingly recognized subset of malignancy-associated BP [91]. However, Type 2 immunity and eosinophils appear to have a protective role in certain cancers, including melanoma [92], and thus, further investigation is needed to evaluate the safety of these drugs in cancer patients.

3.6. CKD. BP often affects older individuals, who frequently suffer from CKD. A 1.3-fold higher risk of BP has been reported in patients with CKD than in general population, which is even higher (1.8-fold) in patients undergoing dialysis: the uremia-related dysfunction of regulatory T cells could be responsible for loss of self-tolerance and of consequent autoimmune response [58, 93]. The severity of BP has been found to correlate with the severity of renal disease [94]. Alteration of bone metabolism (i.e., osteoporosis, uremic osteodystrophy) in patients with CKD has to be considered when considering long-term treatment with systemic CS [95]. In patients with decreased renal function, MTX must be used very cautiously; a low dosage (5–10 mg/wk) has been reported as an effective treatment option without being associated with a higher risk of death. When prescribing low-dose MTX to patients with low eGFR, it is mandatory to monitor serum creatinine and glomerular filtration rate periodically and to adjust posology whenever necessary [96, 97]. MMF can be used safely even in patients with severe chronic renal impairment (glomerular filtration < 25 mL/min/1.73 m²) [98]. AZA should be started at the minimum dose in patients with impaired renal function and under nephrological supervision [99]. Patients under MMF or AZA should be monitored for dose-related adverse events, particularly for erythrocyte count since they are at risk for anemia because of erythropoietin deficiency. CKD is not a contraindication for the administration of dapsone. Doxycycline can be used in patients with renal failure since it is mainly excreted with the feces. Rituximab, omalizumab, and dupilumab can be used without dose adjustment [100]. IVIGs are at risk for acute renal failure in patients with preexisting renal disease when using sucrose-containing preparations due to their osmotic effect [101].

3.7. Chronic Liver Diseases. To investigate potential chronic liver diseases, baseline laboratory tests should include aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT), and serum albumin. Some experts also recommend measuring serum bilirubin and prothrombin time [102]. Baseline screening for HBV and HCV is recommended for patients starting immunosuppressive therapies.

Although there is no definitive link between BP, HBV, or C infections, a small case–control study revealed a higher prevalence of antibodies against these viruses in patients with AIBDs [103]. Thus, it has been hypothesized that these viruses could be factors precipitating BP. Conversely, there are no data on the association between BP and noninfectious liver diseases [103].

Patients with chronic HBV and HCV infections are at risk of worsening liver disease due to drug-related injury and potential rare reactivation of infections [104].

Immunosuppressive therapies predispose to the reactivation of occult HBV and HCV infections. Among the therapies for BP, rituximab and moderate to high CS doses (for more than 4 weeks) are associated with the highest risk [105]. However, according to a recent study, rituximab seems to be a safe choice for BP patients with HBV infection [106]. Patients positive for hepatitis B core antibody should be referred to a hepatologist for regular HBV-DNA monitoring and possible antiviral therapy to manage HBV reactivation, based on individual reactivation risk and planned immunosuppression. All patients with HCV infection should receive antiviral treatment [107].

Dapsone must be used cautiously in patients with severe chronic liver diseases due to the risk of cholestatic injury, which can lead to hemolysis responsible for hepatic iron overload, and toxic or cholestatic hepatitis in case of hypersensitivity syndrome [108, 109].

MTX is contraindicated in patients with severe hepatic insufficiency or bilirubin levels above 5 mg/dL. For patients with preexisting liver disease, particularly those related to alcohol, MTX should be used carefully with potential dose reductions [110]. Although prospective trials on MTX in patients with metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease are lacking, MTX may probably hasten progression to metabolic dysfunction-associated steatohepatitis [111]. In patients with liver disease associated with BP, during treatment with MTX, blood exams should be monitored at Week 2, then monthly for the first 2 months, and every 3 months thereafter [104, 112]. MTX should be discontinued if ALT/AST levels rise to more than three times the upper limit of normal but can be reintroduced at a lower dose after normalization. MTX must be permanently stopped if no other cause for liver dysfunction is found and enzyme levels remain elevated [113]. In patients treated with dapsone, liver function tests, in addition to complete blood cell count and methemoglobin level, should be performed monthly in the first 3–6 months and then every 2–4 months. If any abnormality is detected, the dosage of dapsone should be decreased or the drug discontinued until the source is established [109]. For other treatments used in BP (e.g., MMF, AZA, doxycycline), routine monitoring of liver function every 3 months is sufficient, as only a small proportion of patients develop transaminase abnormalities, which are generally mild. The number of clinically apparent and/or severe cases of impaired liver function is rare, and since they usually occur in the first week of treatment, it may be advisable to monitor transaminase levels after the initial administrations [114–116].

3.8. Chronic Infections. Identifying chronic latent infections in BP patients is relevant when systemic prolonged immunosuppression is needed. Mycobacterium tuberculosis, hepatitis B, hepatitis C, and HIV infections should be investigated.

3.8.1. HIV. Topical CSs are the gold standard in localized disease; however, many BP patients with HIV require systemic therapy, demanding careful monitoring for possible opportunistic infections. Systemic CSs are the most

commonly utilized treatment for patients with BP and HIV. Sometimes, additional drugs need to be added to achieve disease control. In HIV-infected patients, collaboration with an infectious disease specialist is recommended. Generally, nonimmunosuppressive drugs should be preferred [117]. For example, dapsone is a nonimmunosuppressive treatment choice; also, it is useful in the prophylaxis of Pneumocystis jiroveci pneumonia [118]. Patients with AIDS and BP in treatment with dapsone should be monitored for methemoglobinemia, hemolysis, agranulocytosis, liver function alterations, and distal motor neuropathy.

IVIgs are a nonimmunosuppressive treatment option, but the literature lacks studies in the setting of BP patients with HIV infection. Overall, it seems to be a safe therapeutic alternative [117]. Interestingly, the literature lacks reports on the use of tetracyclines and niacinamide in HIV-infected patients with BP, although such medications could represent a therapeutic alternative without immunosuppression. New biological, nonimmunosuppressive agents such as omalizumab or dupilumab could be helpful.

3.8.2. Tuberculosis. Risk of tuberculosis reactivation during immunosuppressive therapy has been investigated in patients with other immune-mediated inflammatory diseases. In southern European countries, such as Italy, the prevalence of tuberculosis increases with age [119]. MTX and AZA are both associated with a risk of TB reactivation, and this should be considered in TB-endemic areas [120]. MTX showed the highest risk, and AZA showed a moderate risk [119] of tuberculosis reactivation. Patients with latent tuberculosis should not be administered such systemic treatments until the latent TB has been treated as per local regulations.

3.9. Pregnancy and Lactation. Very rarely, pregnant women develop a variant of BP, namely, pemphigoid gestationis (PG) [121]. It is preferable to use high-potency topical CS in mild cases; for moderate and severe cases, the first choice is low dosage (< 20 mg/day prednisone) oral CS, which showed an acceptable safety profile during pregnancy and lactation. Dapsone represents a safe option in pregnant women. It may be used either as monotherapy or together with oral steroids. IVIGs have been more extensively studied in pemphigus vulgaris of pregnancy, where they also prevent the transplacental transfer of autoantibodies with a good safety profile, even in the early phases of pregnancy; however, they are considered a useful option, either as monotherapy or in combination with systemic CS. Plasmapheresis/plasma exchange showed good results in the treatment of PG, with or without systemic CS [122]. MTX and tetracyclines are contraindicated in pregnancy [123].

During lactation, the use of topical CS to treat BP is acceptable, as long as it is not applied on the nipple area before breastfeeding. Amounts of prednisone in breastmilk are very low, and no adverse effects have been reported in breastfed infants with maternal use of any CS during breastfeeding. Although it is often recommended to avoid breastfeeding for 4 h after a dose, this is not strictly necessary

as prednisone milk levels are very low [124]. Dapsone is safe even during lactation, provided that the newborn is monitored for glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency and hemolysis. AZA is considered compatible with lactation, as breastfed children showed no adverse effects and no active metabolites of mercaptopurine. IVIG, plasmapheresis, and immunoadsorption appeared well-tolerated and safe in lactation. Rituximab might be used if other options are not available, as it is a large molecule, thus excreted in human milk in minimal amounts. In contrast, tetracyclines, cyclophosphamide, MMF, and MTX may be transferred across the mammary tissue; therefore, they are contraindicated in lactation. Dupilumab and omalizumab also appear to be two safe options. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis that evaluated the effects of exposure to dupilumab during pregnancy in women with atopic dermatitis found no significant increase in the risk of miscarriage or congenital malformations compared to the general population [125]. A prospective, observational study on pregnant women exposed to omalizumab found no apparent increased birth prevalence of major anomalies or patterns of major anomalies have been observed [126].

3.10. Childhood. BP in children is rare, and it is similar to adult BP, but acral and genital (vulvar) are more common [127–129]. Moreover, prognosis is better in children with an average disease duration of 14 months and an optimal response to treatment [129]. The treatment of choice is generally steroids with or without dapsone. Additional successful treatments reported in the literature include cyclosporine [130], erythromycin, doxycycline plus niacinamide and AZA [131], MMF [132], IVIGs [133], plasma exchange and extracorporeal photochemotherapy [134], and rituximab [135]. Severe side effects and two deaths have been reported in association with rituximab for the treatment of severe cases of BP in children [135, 136]. Tetracyclines are contraindicated in children under the age of eight, as they are associated with permanent discoloration of teeth [123].

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, baseline assessment of comorbidities and overall well-being of the patient is crucial for managing the patient appropriately and making the correct therapeutic choices. Topical or oral CSs are the pillar of treatment of BP, but whenever possible, steroid-sparing agents should be used. The most promising drugs for the treatment of BP are target therapies, such as omalizumab and dupilumab, which are not broad-spectrum immunosuppressive but rather immunomodulant drugs [8, 82].

Data Availability Statement

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Funding

The authors have nothing to report.

Acknowledgments

This article was written as a joint effort of the members of the Italian Group for Cutaneous Immunopathology of the Italian Society of Dermatology and Venereology (SIDeMaST).

References

- [1] G. Di Zenzo, R. Della Torre, G. Zambruno, and L. Borradori, “Bullous Pemphigoid: From the Clinic to the Bench,” *Clinics in Dermatology* 30, no. 1 (2012): 3–16, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clindermatol.2011.03.005>.
- [2] E. Schmidt and D. Zillikens, “Pemphigoid Diseases,” *The Lancet* 381, no. 9863 (2013): 320–332, [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(12\)61140-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(12)61140-4).
- [3] L. Borradori, N. Van Beek, C. Feliciani, et al., “Updated S2 K Guidelines for the Management of Bullous Pemphigoid Initiated by the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology (EADV),” *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology* 36, no. 10 (2022): 1689–1704, <https://doi.org/10.1111/jdv.18220>.
- [4] G. Marazza, H. C. Pham, L. Schäfer, et al., “Incidence of Bullous Pemphigoid and Pemphigus in Switzerland: A 2-Year Prospective Study,” *British Journal of Dermatology* 161, no. 4 (2009): 861–868, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2133.2009.09300.x>.
- [5] K. Kridin and R. J. Ludwig, “The Growing Incidence of Bullous Pemphigoid: Overview and Potential Explanations,” *Frontiers of Medicine* 5 (2018): 220, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2018.00220>.
- [6] Y. D. Liu, Y. H. Wang, Y. C. Ye, W. L. Zhao, and L. Li, “Prognostic Factors for Mortality in Patients With Bullous Pemphigoid: A Meta-Analysis,” *Archives of Dermatological Research* 309, no. 5 (2017): 335–347, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00403-017-1736-1>.
- [7] M. Kim, L. Borradori, and D. F. Murrell, “Autoimmune Blistering Diseases in the Elderly: Clinical Presentations and Management,” *Drugs & Aging* 33, no. 10 (October 2016): 711–723, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40266-016-0402-1>.
- [8] X. Chen, Y. Zhang, Z. Luo, et al., “Prognostic Factors for Mortality in Bullous Pemphigoid: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis,” *PLoS One* 17, no. 4 (April 2022): e0264705, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0264705>.
- [9] F. Schulze, K. Neumann, A. Recke, D. Zillikens, R. Linder, and E. Schmidt, “Malignancies in Pemphigus and Pemphigoid Diseases,” *Journal of Investigative Dermatology* 135, no. 5 (2015): 1445–1447, <https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2014.547>.
- [10] M. J. Verheyden, A. Bilgic, and D. F. Murrell, “A Systematic Review of Drug-Induced Pemphigoid,” *Acta Dermatovenereologica* 100, no. 15 (2020): adv00224, <https://doi.org/10.2340/00015555-3457>.
- [11] A. Lloyd-Lavery, C. C. Chi, F. Wojnarowska, and K. Taghipour, “The Associations Between Bullous Pemphigoid and Drug Use: A UK Case-Control Study,” *JAMA Dermatology* 149, no. 1 (2013): 58–62, <https://doi.org/10.1001/2013.jamadermatol.376>.
- [12] S. D. Liu, W. T. Chen, and C. C. Chi, “Association Between Medication Use and Bullous Pemphigoid: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis,” *JAMA Dermatology* 156, no. 8 (2020): 891–900, <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamadermatol.2020.1587>.

- [13] I. Fuertes de Vega, P. Iranzo-Fernández, and J. M. Mascaró-Galy, “Bullous Pemphigoid: Clinical Practice Guidelines,” *Actas Dermosifiliogr* 105, no. 4 (2014): 328–346, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ad.2012.10.022>.
- [14] V. Furer, C. Rondaan, M. W. Heijstek, et al., “2019 Update of EULAR Recommendations for Vaccination in Adult Patients With Autoimmune Inflammatory Rheumatic Diseases,” *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* 79, no. 1 (2020): 39–52, <https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-215882>.
- [15] “Guida Pratica per Il Medico Di Medicina Generale E Lo Specialista Reumatologo,” *Società Italiana Di Reumatologia* (2020), https://www.reumatologia.it/obj/files/covid19/DPL_VACCINI_2020_DEF.pdf.
- [16] P. Bernard, C. Bedane, C. Prost, S. Ingen-Housz-Oro, and P. Joly, “Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment,” *Annales de Dermatologie et de Vénérologie* 138, no. 3 (2011): 247–251, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annder.2011.01.009>.
- [17] P. M. Patel, V. A. Jones, T. N. Murray, and K. T. Amber, “A Review Comparing International Guidelines for the Management of Bullous Pemphigoid, Pemphigoid Gestationis, Mucous Membrane Pemphigoid, and Epidermolysis Bullosa Acquisita,” *American Journal of Clinical Dermatology* 21, no. 4 (2020): 557–565, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40257-020-00513-3>.
- [18] H. Ujiie, H. Iwata, J. Yamagami, et al., “Japanese Guidelines for the Management of Pemphigoid (Including Epidermolysis Bullosa Acquisita),” *The Journal of Dermatology* 46, no. 12 (2019): 1102–1135, <https://doi.org/10.1111/1346-8138.15111>.
- [19] M. N. Ramirez-Quizon, L. Borradori, R. P. Hall, and D. F. Murrell, “Management of Bullous Pemphigoid,” in *Blistering Diseases*, ed. D. F. Murrell (Springer, Inc, 2015), 543–563.
- [20] D. F. Murrell, B. S. Daniel, P. Joly, et al., “Definitions and Outcome Measures for Bullous Pemphigoid: Recommendations by an International Panel of Experts,” *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 66, no. 3 (2012): 479–485, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2011.06.032>.
- [21] V. A. Venning, K. Taghipour, M. F. Mohd Mustapa, et al., “British Association of Dermatologists’ Guidelines for the Management of Bullous Pemphigoid 2012,” *British Journal of Dermatology* 167, no. 6 (2012): 1200–1214, <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjd.12072>.
- [22] V. Hébert, S. Bastos, K. Drenovska, et al., “International Multicentre Observational Study to Assess the Efficacy and Safety of a 0.5 mg kg⁻¹ Per Day Starting Dose of Oral Corticosteroids to Treat Bullous Pemphigoid,” *British Journal of Dermatology*, 2021185, no. 6, 1232–1239.
- [23] D. Liu, A. Ahmet, L. Ward, et al., “A Practical Guide to the Monitoring and Management of the Complications of Systemic Corticosteroid Therapy,” *Allergy, Asthma & Clinical Immunology* 9, no. 1 (2013): 30, <https://doi.org/10.1186/1710-1492-9-30>.
- [24] M. Guignant, B. Tedbirt, D. F. Murrell, et al., “How Do Experts Treat Patients With Bullous Pemphigoid Around the World? An International Survey,” *JID Innovations* 2, no. 4 (2022): 100129, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xjidi.2022.100129>.
- [25] M. Sticherling, A. Franke, E. Aberer, et al., “An Open, Multicentre, Randomized Clinical Study in Patients With Bullous Pemphigoid Comparing Methylprednisolone and Azathioprine With Methylprednisolone and Dapsone,” *British Journal of Dermatology* 177, no. 5 (2017): 1299–1305, <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjd.15649>.
- [26] D. N. Adam, M. J. Gooderham, J. R. Beecker, et al., “Expert Consensus on the Systemic Treatment of Atopic Dermatitis in Special Populations,” *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology* 37, no. 6 (2023): 1135–1148, <https://doi.org/10.1111/jdv.18922>.
- [27] M. Grissinger, “Severe Harm and Death Associated With Errors and Drug Interactions Involving Low-Dose Methotrexate,” *P & T: A Peer-Reviewed Journal for Formulary Management* 43, no. 4 (2018): 191–248.
- [28] S. J. Meggitt, A. V. Anstey, M. F. Mohd Mustapa, et al., “British Association of Dermatologists’ Guidelines for the Safe and Effective Prescribing of Azathioprine 2011,” *British Journal of Dermatology* 165, no. 4 (2011): 711–734, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2133.2011.10575.x>.
- [29] G. J. Inman, J. Wang, A. Nagano, et al., “The Genomic Landscape of Cutaneous SCC Reveals Drivers and a Novel Azathioprine Associated Mutational Signature,” *Nature Communications* 9, no. 1 (2018): 3667, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06027-1>.
- [30] E. M. Ammann, C. B. Haskins, K. M. Fillman, et al., “Intravenous Immune Globulin and Thromboembolic Adverse Events: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Rcts,” *American Journal of Hematology* 91, no. 6 (2016): 594–605, <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajh.24358>.
- [31] P. Bucciarelli, Y. Balice, G. Cianchini, et al., “Increased Risk of Venous Thromboembolism in Patients With Bullous Pemphigoid. The INVENTEP (Incidence of Venous Thromboembolism in Bullous Pemphigoid) Study,” *Thrombosis and Haemostasis* 115, no. 01 (2016): 193–199, <https://doi.org/10.1160/th15-04-0309>.
- [32] V. A. London, G. H. Kim, J. A. Fairley, and D. T. Woodley, “Successful Treatment of Bullous Pemphigoid With Omalizumab,” *Archives of Dermatology* 148, no. 11 (2012): 1241–3. 45, <https://doi.org/10.1001/archdermatol.2012.1604>.
- [33] K. K. Yu, A. B. Crew, K. A. Messingham, J. A. Fairley, and D. T. Woodley, “Omalizumab Therapy for Bullous Pemphigoid,” *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 71, no. 3 (2014): 468–474, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2014.04.053>.
- [34] R. Chebani, F. Lombart, G. Chaby, et al., “Omalizumab in the Treatment of Bullous Pemphigoid Resistant to First-Line Therapy: A French National Multicentre Retrospective Study of 100 Patients,” *British Journal of Dermatology* 190, no. 2 (2024): 258–265, <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjd/ljad369>.
- [35] D. F. Murrell, P. Joly, V. P. Werth, et al., “Study Design of a Phase 2/3 Randomized Controlled Trial of Dupilumab in Adults With Bullous Pemphigoid: LIBERTY-BP ADEPT,” *Advances in Therapy* 41, no. 7 (2024): 2991–3002, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12325-024-02810-3>.
- [36] Press Release, 2025, <https://urland.esvalabs.com/?u=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.sanofi.com%2Fen%2Fmedia-room%2Fpress-releases%2F2024%2F2024-09-11-05-00-00-2944237%26e=ed7a584b%26h=84be1b7d%26f=y%26p=y>.
- [37] A. K. Försti, J. Jokelainen, H. Ansakorpi, et al., “Psychiatric and Neurological Disorders are Associated With Bullous Pemphigoid a Nationwide Finnish Care Register Study,” *Scientific Reports* 6, no. 1 (2016): 37125, <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep37125>.
- [38] J. Huttelmaier, S. Benoit, and M. Goebeler, “Comorbidity in Bullous Pemphigoid: Up-Date and Clinical Implications,” *Frontiers in Immunology* 14 (2023): 1196999, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1196999>.
- [39] K. Kridin, F. Hübner, A. Recke, R. Linder, and E. Schmidt, “The Burden of Neurological Comorbidities in Six

- Autoimmune Bullous Diseases: A Population-Based Study,” *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology* 35, no. 10 (2021): 2074–2078, <https://doi.org/10.1111/jdv.17465>.
- [40] E. Papakonstantinou, M. M. Limberg, M. Gehring, et al., “Neurological Disorders are Associated With Bullous Pemphigoid,” *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology* 33, no. 5 (2019): 925–929, <https://doi.org/10.1111/jdv.15444>.
- [41] N. Cordel, O. Chosidow, M. F. Hellot, et al., “Neurological Disorders in Patients With Bullous Pemphigoid,” *Dermatology* 215, no. 3 (2007): 187–191, <https://doi.org/10.1159/000106574>.
- [42] H. Tey, C. Yu Phuan, and Y. Yew, “Bullous Pemphigoid and Antecedent Neurological Diseases: an Association With Dementia,” *Indian Journal of Dermatology Venereology and Leprology* 83, no. 4 (July 2017): 457–461, <https://doi.org/10.4103/0378-6323.198451>.
- [43] S. Khosravani, F. Handjani, R. Alimohammadi, and N. Saki, “Frequency of Neurological Disorders in Bullous Pemphigoid Patients: A Cross-Sectional Study,” *International Scholarly Research Notices* 2017 (May 2017): 6053267, <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/6053267>.
- [44] L. Kibsgaard, M. Rasmussen, A. Lamberg, M. Deleuran, A. B. Olesen, and C. Vestergaard, “Increased Frequency of Multiple Sclerosis Among Patients With Bullous Pemphigoid: A Population-Based Cohort Study on Comorbidities Anchored Around the Diagnosis of Bullous Pemphigoid,” *British Journal of Dermatology* 176, no. 6 (2017): 1486–1491, <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjd.15405>.
- [45] M. A. Hawkins, E. C. Gathright, J. Gunstad, et al., “The Moca and MMSE as Screeners for Cognitive Impairment in a Heart Failure Population: A Study With Comprehensive Neuropsychological Testing,” *Heart & Lung* 43, no. 5 (2014): 462–468, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrtlng.2014.05.011>.
- [46] M. Y. L. Lim and J. H. Y. Loo, “Screening an Elderly Hearing Impaired Population for Mild Cognitive Impairment Using Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) and Montreal Cognitive Assessment (Moca),” *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 33, no. 7 (2018): 972–979, <https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.4880>.
- [47] G. T. Liu, C. S. Hwang, C. H. Hsieh, et al., “Eosinophil-Derived Neurotoxin is Elevated in Patients With Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis,” *Mediators of Inflammation* 2013 (2013): 1–7, <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/421389>.
- [48] A. Emani, R. Yadav, R. Kirar, and M. Mohan, “Reversible Dementia With Hypereosinophilia: An Intricate Connection,” *Cureus* 17, no. 6 (June 2025): e86009, <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.86009>.
- [49] M. Lomi, F. Geraci, C. Del Seppia, C. Dolciotti, R. Del Carratore, and P. Bongioanni, “Biomarker Profile in Peripheral Blood Cells Related to Alzheimer’s disease,” *Molecular Neurobiology* 62, no. 7 (July 2025): 8949–8964, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-025-04767-y>.
- [50] O. Sacks and M. Shulman, “Steroid Dementia: An Overlooked Diagnosis?” *Neurology* 64, no. 4 (February 2005): 707–709, <https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000151977.18440.c3>.
- [51] B. Sim, S. Fook-Chong, Y. W. Phoon, et al., “Multimorbidity in Bullous Pemphigoid: a case-control Analysis of Bullous Pemphigoid Patients With Age- and Gender-Matched Controls,” *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology* 31, no. 10 (2017): 1709–1714, <https://doi.org/10.1111/jdv.14312>.
- [52] W. Guo, S. Rathi, J. Marquez, et al., “Prevalence of Diabetes Mellitus in Bullous Pemphigoid Patients in the Absence of Dipeptidyl Peptidase-4 Inhibitors: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis,” *Archives of Dermatological Research* 315, no. 8 (2023): 2207–2213, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00403-023-02562-0>.
- [53] N. Jiirasutat, P. Pongchareon, and S. Weschawalit, “Bullous Pemphigoid and Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis,” *International Journal of Dermatology* 63, no. 5 (2024): 572–579, <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijd.16970>.
- [54] L. Fania, G. Di Zenzo, B. Didona, et al., “Increased Prevalence of Diabetes Mellitus in Bullous Pemphigoid Patients During the Last Decade,” *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology: JEADV* 32, no. 4 (2018): e153–e154, <https://doi.org/10.1111/jdv.14649>.
- [55] M. Benzaquen, L. Borradori, P. Berbis, et al., “Dipeptidyl Peptidase IV Inhibitors, A Risk Factor for Bullous Pemphigoid: Retrospective Multicenter Case-Control Study From France and Switzerland,” *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 78, no. 6 (2018): 1090–1096, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2017.12.038>.
- [56] A. Salemme, L. Fania, A. Scarabello, et al., “Gliptin-Associated Bullous Pemphigoid Shows Peculiar Features of Anti-BP180 and BP230 Humoral Response: Results of a Multicenter Study,” *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 87, no. 1 (2022): 56–63, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2022.02.036>.
- [57] T. F. Downham and T. A. Chapel, “Bullous Pemphigoid: Therapy in Patients With and Without Diabetes Mellitus,” *Archives of Dermatology* 114, no. 11 (1978): 1639–1642, <https://doi.org/10.1001/archderm.1978.01640230013003>.
- [58] S. Lee, S. Rastogi, D. Y. Hsu, B. Nardone, and J. I. Silverberg, “Association of Bullous Pemphigoid and Comorbid Health Conditions: A Case-Control Study,” *Archives of Dermatological Research* 313, no. 5 (2021): 327–332, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00403-020-02100-2>.
- [59] V. Sánchez-García, L. Pérez-Alcaraz, I. Belinchón-Romero, and J. M. Ramos-Rincón, “Comorbidities in Patients With Autoimmune Bullous Disorders: Hospital-Based Registry Study,” *Life* 12, no. 4 (2022): 595, <https://doi.org/10.3390/life12040595>.
- [60] R. Chovatiya and J. I. Silverberg, “Association of Pemphigus and Pemphigoid With Osteoporosis and Pathological Fractures,” *Archives of Dermatological Research* 312, no. 4 (2020): 263–271, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00403-019-02010-y>.
- [61] A. V. Marzano, V. Trevisan, E. Cairoli, et al., “Vitamin D and Skeletal Health in Autoimmune Bullous Skin Diseases: A Case Control Study,” *Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases* 10, no. 1 (2015): 8, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13023-015-0230-0>.
- [62] B. T. Summey and G. Yosipovitch, “Glucocorticoid-Induced Bone Loss in Dermatologic Patients: An Update,” *Archives of Dermatology* 142, no. 1 (2006): 82–90, <https://doi.org/10.1001/archderm.142.1.82>.
- [63] M. B. Humphrey, L. Russell, M. I. Danila, et al., “2022 American College of Rheumatology Guideline for the Prevention and Treatment of Glucocorticoid-Induced Osteoporosis,” *Arthritis & Rheumatology* 75, no. 12 (2023): 2088–2102, <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.42646>.
- [64] A. Egeberg, P. Schwarz, T. Harsløf, et al., “Association of Potent and Very Potent Topical Corticosteroids and the Risk of Osteoporosis and Major Osteoporotic Fractures,” *JAMA Dermatology* 157, no. 3 (2021): 275–282, <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamadermatol.2020.4968>.

- [65] J. M. Grossman, R. Gordon, V. K. Ranganath, et al., "American College of Rheumatology 2010 Recommendations for the Prevention and Treatment of Glucocorticoid-Induced Osteoporosis," *Arthritis Care & Research* 62, no. 11 (2010): 1515–1526, <https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.20295>.
- [66] S. I. Tee, G. Yosipovitch, Y. C. Chan, et al., "Prevention of Glucocorticoid-Induced Osteoporosis in Immunobullous Diseases With Alendronate: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Study," *Archives of Dermatology* 148, no. 3 (2012): 307–314, <https://doi.org/10.1001/archdermatol.2011.354>.
- [67] L. Dudley and L. Brown, "Prevention of glucocorticoid-induced Fractures: Which Patients Should We Treat? Comment on the Article by Grossman Et Al," *Arthritis Care & Research* 65, no. 9 (2013): 1549–1550, <https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.22023>.
- [68] "Italian Rheumatological Guidelines on Osteoporosis," (2025), <https://www.sigg.it/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/Li-nee-Guida-definitive-OSTEOPOROSI-1.pdf>.
- [69] A. Caplan, N. Fett, M. Rosenbach, V. P. Werth, and R. G. Micheletti, "Prevention and Management of Glucocorticoid-Induced Side Effects: A Comprehensive Review," *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 76, no. 1 (2017): 1–9, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2016.01.062>.
- [70] P. Joly, J. C. Roujeau, J. Benichou, et al., "A Comparison of Oral and Topical Corticosteroids in Patients With Bullous Pemphigoid," *New England Journal of Medicine* 346, no. 5 (2002): 321–327, <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa011592>.
- [71] K. Kridin, K. Bieber, A. Vorobyev, et al., "Risk of Death, Major Adverse Cardiac Events and Relapse in Patients With Bullous Pemphigoid Treated With Systemic or Topical Corticosteroids," *British Journal of Dermatology* 191, no. 4 (2024): 539–547, <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjd/ljae219>.
- [72] K. S. Kang, H. I. Kim, O. H. Kim, et al., "Clinical Outcomes of Adverse Cardiovascular Events in Patients With Acute Dapsone Poisoning," *Clinical and Experimental Emergency Medicine* 3, no. 1 (2016): 41–45, <https://doi.org/10.15441/ceem.15.088>.
- [73] H. K. Choi, M. A. Hernán, J. D. Seeger, J. M. Robins, and F. Wolfe, "Methotrexate and Mortality in Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis: A Prospective Study," *Lancet* 359, no. 9313 (2002): 1173–1177, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(02\)08213-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(02)08213-2).
- [74] L. W. Miller, "Cardiovascular Toxicities of Immunosuppressive Agents," *American Journal of Transplantation* 2, no. 9 (2002): 807–818, <https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-6143.2002.20902.x>.
- [75] V. B. Patil, S. B. Lunge, and B. R. Doshi, "Cardiac Side Effect of Rituximab," *Indian Journal of Drugs in Dermatology* 6, no. 1 (2020): 49–52, https://doi.org/10.4103/ijdd.ijdd_64_19.
- [76] V. B. Arumugham and A. Rayi, "Intravenous Immunoglobulin (IVIG)," in *Statpearls* (StatPearls Publishing, 2025), <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK554446/>.
- [77] S. Kartan, V. Y. Shi, A. K. Clark, and L. S. Chan, "Paraneoplastic Pemphigus and Autoimmune Blistering Diseases Associated With Neoplasm: Characteristics, Diagnosis, Associated Neoplasms, Proposed Pathogenesis, Treatment," *American Journal of Clinical Dermatology* 18, no. 1 (2017): 105–126, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40257-016-0235-z>.
- [78] J. Li, Y. G. Zuo, H. Y. Zheng, and S. Qiu-Ning, "Association Between Bullous Pemphigoid and Internal Diseases," *JDDG: Journal der Deutschen Dermatologischen Gesellschaft* 11, no. 3 (2013): 263–264, <https://doi.org/10.1111/ddg.12002>.
- [79] E. Ong, R. Goldacre, U. Hoang, R. Sinclair, and M. Goldacre, "Associations Between Bullous Pemphigoid and Primary Malignant Cancers: An English National Record Linkage Study, 1999–2011," *Archives of Dermatological Research* 306, no. 1 (2014): 75–80, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00403-013-1399-5>.
- [80] A. Pankakoski, H. Sintonen, A. Ranki, and N. Kluger, "Comorbidities of Bullous Pemphigoid in a Finnish Cohort," *European Journal of Dermatology* 28, no. 2 (2018): 157–161, <https://doi.org/10.1684/ejd.2018.3243>.
- [81] H. Ogawa, M. Sakuma, S. Morioka, et al., "The Incidence of Internal Malignancies in Pemphigus and Bullous Pemphigoid in Japan," *Journal of Dermatological Science* 9, no. 2 (1995): 136–141, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0923-1811\(94\)00371-k](https://doi.org/10.1016/0923-1811(94)00371-k).
- [82] L. Atzmony, I. Mimouni, O. Reiter, et al., "Association of Bullous Pemphigoid With Malignancy: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis," *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 77, no. 4 (2017): 691–699, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2017.05.006>.
- [83] E. Martin, I. Mauer, U. Malzahn, P. U. Heuschmann, M. Goebeler, and S. Benoit, "Comorbid Diseases Among Bullous Pemphigoid Patients in Germany: New Insights From a Case-Control Study," *JDDG: Journal der Deutschen Dermatologischen Gesellschaft* 20, no. 6 (2022): 798–805, <https://doi.org/10.1111/ddg.14738>.
- [84] E. Antiga, R. Bech, R. Maglie, et al., "S2k Guidelines on the Management of Paraneoplastic Pemphigus/Paraneoplastic Autoimmune Multiorgan Syndrome Initiated by the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology (EADV)," *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology* 37, no. 6 (2023): 1118–1134, <https://doi.org/10.1111/jdv.18931>.
- [85] T. Vial and J. Descotes, "Immunosuppressive Drugs and Cancer," *Toxicology* 185, no. 3 (2003): 229–240, [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0300-483x\(02\)00612-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0300-483x(02)00612-1).
- [86] A. L. Corbí, S. Sánchez-Ramón, and A. Domínguez-Soto, "The Potential of Intravenous Immunoglobulins for Cancer Therapy: A Road That is Worth Taking?" *Immunotherapy* 8, no. 5 (2016): 601–612, <https://doi.org/10.2217/imt.16.9>.
- [87] K. T. Amber, R. Maglie, F. Solimani, R. Eming, and M. Hertl, "Targeted Therapies for Autoimmune Bullous Diseases: Current Status," *Drugs* 78, no. 15 (2018): 1527–1548, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40265-018-0976-5>.
- [88] D. Bagnasco, R. F. Canevari, S. Del Giacco, et al., "Omalizumab and Cancer Risk: Current Evidence in Allergic Asthma, Chronic Urticaria, and Chronic Rhinosinusitis With Nasal Polyps," *World Allergy Organization Journal* 15, no. 12 (2022): 100721, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.waojou.2022.100721>.
- [89] N. Macagno, L. Mastorino, N. Siliquini, et al., "Safety of Dupilumab in Patients With Cancer," *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology: JEADV* 38, no. 9 (2024): e764–e766, <https://doi.org/10.1111/jdv.19873>.
- [90] W. D. Shipman, K. Singh, J. M. Cohen, J. Leventhal, W. Damsky, and M. M. Tomayko, "Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor-Induced Bullous Pemphigoid is Characterized by Interleukin (IL)-4 and IL-13 Expression and Responds to Dupilumab Treatment," *British Journal of Dermatology* 189, no. 3 (2023): 339–341, <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjd/ljad149>.
- [91] H. Ujiie, "What's New in the Pathogenesis and Triggering Factors of Bullous Pemphigoid," *The Journal of Dermatology* 50, no. 2 (2023): 140–149, <https://doi.org/10.1111/1346-8138.16654>.

- [92] C. F. Guerrero-Juarez, P. K. Goyal, and K. T. Amber, "Targeting Interleukin (IL)-4/IL-13 in Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor-Induced Bullous Pemphigoid: A Cautionary Note on the Beneficial Effect of T Helper 2 Immunity in Melanoma and Immunotherapy," *British Journal of Dermatology* 190, no. 1 (2023): 137–138, <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjd/ljad324>.
- [93] T. K. Hendriks, E. A. van Gurp, W. M. Mol, et al., "End-Stage Renal Failure and Regulatory Activities of CD4+CD25bright+FoxP3+ T-cells," *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* 24, no. 6 (2009): 1969–1978, <https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfp005>.
- [94] E. A. Ross and A. R. Ahmed, "Bullous Pemphigoid-Associated Nephropathy: Report of Two Cases and Review of the Literature," *American Journal of Kidney Diseases* 14, no. 3 (1989): 225–229, [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0272-6386\(89\)80076-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0272-6386(89)80076-9).
- [95] C. Y. Hsu, L. R. Chen, and K. H. Chen, "Osteoporosis in Patients With Chronic Kidney Diseases: A Systemic Review," *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 21, no. 18 (2020): 6846, <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21186846>.
- [96] A. Fisch, L. Morin, T. Talme, K. Johnell, and I. Gallais Sérézal, "Low-Dose Methotrexate Use and Safety for Older Patients With Bullous Pemphigoid and Impaired Renal Function: A Cohort Study," *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 82, no. 6 (2020): 1532–1534, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2020.01.084>.
- [97] P. Kjellman, H. Eriksson, and P. Berg, "A Retrospective Analysis of Patients With Bullous Pemphigoid Treated With Methotrexate," *Archives of Dermatology* 144, no. 5 (2008): 612–616, <https://doi.org/10.1001/archderm.144.5.612>.
- [98] M. Haubitz and K. D. Groot, "Tolerance of Mycophenolate Mofetil in End-Stage Renal Disease Patients With ANCA-Associated Vasculitis," *Clinical Nephrology* 57, no. 06 (2002): 421–424, <https://doi.org/10.5414/cnp57421>.
- [99] L. Golightly, *Renal Pharmacotherapy: Dosage Adjustment of Medications Eliminated by the Kidneys*, ed. T. H. Kiser (Springer, 2013).
- [100] P. Cao, W. Xu, and L. Zhang, "Rituximab, Omalizumab, and Dupilumab Treatment Outcomes in Bullous Pemphigoid: A Systematic Review," *Frontiers in Immunology* 13 (2022): 928621, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.928621>.
- [101] H. Orbach, U. Katz, Y. Sherer, and Y. Shoenfeld, "Intravenous Immunoglobulin: Adverse Effects and Safe Administration," *Clinical Reviews in Allergy and Immunology* 29, no. 3 (2005): 173–184, <https://doi.org/10.1385/craia:29:3:173>.
- [102] J. M. Kremer, G. S. Alarcón, R. W. Lightfoot Jr., et al., "Methotrexate for Rheumatoid Arthritis. Suggested Guidelines for Monitoring Liver Toxicity. American College of Rheumatology," *Arthritis & Rheumatism* 37, no. 3 (March 1994): 316–328, <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.1780370304>.
- [103] L. Sagi, S. Baum, N. Agmon-Levin, et al., "Autoimmune Bullous Diseases," *Autoimmunity Reviews* 10, no. 9 (2011): 527–535, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autrev.2011.04.003>.
- [104] R. Conway and J. J. Carey, "Risk of Liver Disease in Methotrexate Treated Patients," *World Journal of Hepatology* 9, no. 26 (2017): 1092–1100, <https://doi.org/10.4254/wjh.v9.i26.1092>.
- [105] T. F. Li, L. Tang-Lin, and C. C. Ang, "A Retrospective Cohort Study of Hepatitis B Reactivation in Patients With Autoimmune Bullous Diseases on Immunosuppressive Therapy in Singapore," *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 85, no. 4 (2021): 1017–1020, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2020.12.085>.
- [106] Z. Aryanian, A. Tahooneban, K. Balighi, et al., "Prevalence and Management of Chronic Hepatitis B in Pemphigus and Pemphigoid Patients: New Evidence for the Safety of Rituximab," *Dermatologic Therapy* 35, no. 11 (2022): e15783, <https://doi.org/10.1111/dth.15783>.
- [107] P. Lampertico, K. Agarwal, T. Berg, et al., "EASL 2017 Clinical Practice Guidelines on the Management of Hepatitis B Virus Infection," *Journal of Hepatology* 67, no. 2 (2017): 370–398, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2017.03.021>.
- [108] D. Ezhilarasan, "Dapsone-Induced Hepatic Complications: It's Time to Think Beyond Methemoglobinemia," *Drug and Chemical Toxicology* 44, no. 3 (2021): 330–333, <https://doi.org/10.1080/01480545.2019.1679829>.
- [109] G. Wozel and C. Blasum, "Dapsone in Dermatology and Beyond," *Archives of Dermatological Research* 306, no. 2 (2014): 103–124, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00403-013-1409-7>.
- [110] G. Schmajuk, Y. Miao, J. Yazdany, W. J. Boscardin, D. I. Daikh, and M. A. Steinman, "Identification of Risk Factors for Elevated Transaminases in Methotrexate Users Through an Electronic Health Record," *Arthritis Care & Research* 66, no. 8 (2014): 1159–1166, <https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.22294>.
- [111] J. Haridy, A. Nicoll, and S. Sood, "Methotrexate Therapy for Autoimmune Hepatitis," *Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology* 16, no. 2 (2018): 288–289, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2017.07.003>.
- [112] A. Nast, C. Smith, P. I. Spuls, et al., "Euroguiderm Guideline on the Systemic Treatment of Psoriasis Vulgaris Part 1: Treatment and Monitoring Recommendations," *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology* 34, no. 11 (2020): 2461–2498, <https://doi.org/10.1111/jdv.16915>.
- [113] K. Visser, W. Katchamart, E. Loza, et al., "Multinational Evidence-based Recommendations for the Use of Methotrexate in Rheumatic Disorders With a Focus on Rheumatoid Arthritis: Integrating Systematic Literature Research and Expert Opinion of a Broad International Panel of Rheumatologists in the 3E Initiative," *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* 68, no. 7 (July 2009): 1086–1093, <https://doi.org/10.1136/ard.2008.094474>.
- [114] K. Horning and C. Schmidt, "Azathioprine-Induced Rapid Hepatotoxicity," *Journal of Pharmacy Technology* 30, no. 1 (2014): 18–20, <https://doi.org/10.1177/8755122513504078>.
- [115] N. Nikolajevic, M. Nikolajevic, I. Pantic, et al., "Drug-Induced Liver Injury due to Doxycycline: A Case Report and Review of Literature," *Cureus* 16, no. 5 (2024): e59687, <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.59687>.
- [116] M. Moreiras-Plaza, L. Palomares-Solla, C. Caramés-Feijoo, E. Martínez-Corona, W. Nájera-de-la-Garza, and F. Fernández-Fleming, "Mycophenolate Sodium-Induced Hepatotoxicity: The Second Report?" *Nefrologia* 39, no. 5 (2019): 561–562, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nefro.2019.02.009>.
- [117] M. S. Min, E. Damstetter, and A. Y. Y. Chen, "Autoimmune Blistering Disorders in the Setting of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Infection," *International Journal of Women's Dermatology* 4, no. 3 (2018): 159–165, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijwd.2018.02.002>.
- [118] S. J. Goldie, J. E. Kaplan, E. Losina, et al., "Prophylaxis for Human Immunodeficiency Virus-Related Pneumocystis Carinii Pneumonia: Using Simulation Modeling to Inform Clinical Guidelines," *Archives of Internal Medicine* 162, no. 8 (2002): 921–928, <https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.162.8.921>.
- [119] F. Cantini, C. Nannini, L. Niccoli, et al., "Guidance for the Management of Patients With Latent Tuberculosis Infection Requiring Biologic Therapy in Rheumatology and Dermatology

- Clinical Practice," *Autoimmunity Reviews* 14, no. 6 (2015): 503–509, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autrev.2015.01.011>.
- [120] A. Davidson, A. Gunay, I. Colmegna, et al., "Safety of Low Dose Methotrexate (MTX) and Tuberculosis (TB) [Abstract]," *Arthritis & Rheumatology* 72, no. suppl 10 (2020): <https://acrabstracts.org/abstract/safety-of-low-dose-methotrexate-mtx-and-tuberculosis-tb/>.
- [121] F. Bertram, E. B. Brocker, D. Zillikens, and E. Schmidt, "Prospective Analysis of the Incidence of Autoimmune Bullous Disorders in Lower Franconia, Germany," *JDDG: Journal der Deutschen Dermatologischen Gesellschaft* 7, no. 5 (2009): 434–439, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1610-0387.2008.06976.x>.
- [122] G. Genovese, F. Derlino, E. Berti, and A. V. Marzano, "Treatment of Autoimmune Bullous Diseases During Pregnancy and Lactation: A Review Focusing on Pemphigus and Pemphigoid Gestationis," *Frontiers in Pharmacology* 11 (2020): 583354, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2020.583354>.
- [123] M. C. Shutter and H. Akhondi, "Tetracycline," in *Statpearls [Internet]* (StatPearls Publishing), <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK549905/>.
- [124] Drugs and Lactation Database (LactMed®) [Internet], (National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, 2006), <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK501077/>.
- [125] V. Sánchez-García, E. De-Miguel-Balsa, J. M. Ramos-Rincón, and I. Belinchón-Romero, "Safety of Dupilumab Therapy for Atopic Dermatitis During Pregnancy: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis," *Acta Dermato-Venereologica* 105 (2025): adv41307, <https://doi.org/10.2340/actadv.v105.41307>.
- [126] J. Namazy, M. D. Cabana, A. E. Scheuerle, et al., "The Xolair Pregnancy Registry (EXPECT): The Safety of Omalizumab Use During Pregnancy," *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 135, no. 2 (2015): 407–412, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2014.08.025>.
- [127] B. Schultz and K. Hook, "Bullous Diseases in Children: A Review of Clinical Features and Treatment Options," *Pediatric Drugs* 21, no. 5 (2019): 345–356, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40272-019-00349-3>.
- [128] O. Waisbourd-Zinman, D. Ben-Amitai, A. D. Cohen, et al., "Bullous Pemphigoid in Infancy: Clinical and Epidemiologic Characteristics," *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 58, no. 1 (2008): 41–48, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2007.08.010>.
- [129] M. Gajic-Veljic, M. Nikolic, and L. Medenica, "Juvenile Bullous Pemphigoid: The Presentation and Follow-Up of Six Cases," *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology* 24, no. 1 (2010): 69–72, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-3083.2009.03264.x>.
- [130] R. M. Trüeb, L. Didierjean, A. Fellas, A. Elias, and L. Borradori, "Childhood Bullous Pemphigoid: Report of a Case With Characterization of the Targeted Antigens," *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 40, no. 2 (1999): 338–344, [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0190-9622\(99\)70481-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0190-9622(99)70481-0).
- [131] Y. L. Kong, Y. L. Lim, and N. S. Chandran, "Retrospective Study on Autoimmune Blistering Disease in Paediatric Patients," *Pediatric Dermatology* 32, no. 6 (2015): 845–852, <https://doi.org/10.1111/pde.12684>.
- [132] L. Seminario-Vidal, N. Sami, J. Miller, and A. Theos, "Mycophenolate Mofetil Therapy for Pediatric Bullous Pemphigoid," *Dermatology Online Journal* 21, no. 8 (2015): <https://doi.org/10.5070/d3218028429>.
- [133] B. Tekin and A. D. Yücelten, "Infantile Bullous Pemphigoid Treated Using Intravenous Immunoglobulin: Case Report and Review of the Literature," *Pediatric Dermatology* 32, no. 5 (2015): 723–726, <https://doi.org/10.1111/pde.12635>.
- [134] G. Tripodi, M. Risso, L. Tenerini, P. Gandullia, E. Castellano, and L. Rivabella, "Drug-Resistant Bullous Pemphigoid and Inflammatory Bowel Disease in a Pediatric Case Successfully Treated by Plasma Exchange and Extracorporeal Photochemotherapy," *Journal of Clinical Apheresis* 22, no. 1 (2007): 26–30, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jca.20115>.
- [135] I. Fuertes, J. Luelmo, L. Leal, J. Romani, S. Sánchez, and J. M. Mascaró Jr., "Refractory Childhood Pemphigoid Successfully Treated With Rituximab," *Pediatric Dermatology* 30, no. 5 (2013): e96–e97, <https://doi.org/10.1111/pde.12057>.
- [136] E. Schmidt, C. S. Seitz, S. Benoit, E. B. Bröcker, and M. Goebeler, "Rituximab in Autoimmune Bullous Diseases: Mixed Responses and Adverse Effects," *British Journal of Dermatology* 156, no. 2 (2007): 352–356, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2133.2006.07646.x>.