



Letter to the Editor

Lower (1,3)- β -D-glucan sensitivity and *in vitro* levels in *Candida auris* and *Candida parapsilosis* strains: author's response

Malgorzata Mikulska^{1,2,*}, Nadir Ullah¹, Laura Magnasco¹, Giulia Codda³, Anna Marchese^{3,4}, Matteo Bassetti^{1,2}

¹ Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Health Sciences (DISSAL), University of Genova, Genova, Italy

² IRCCS Ospedale Policlinico San Martino, Genova, Italy

³ Department of Surgical Sciences and Integrated Diagnostics (DISC), University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy

⁴ Microbiology Unit, San Martino Policlinico Hospital—IRCCS for Oncology and Neurosciences, Genoa, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 28 May 2024

Accepted 30 May 2024

Available online 6 June 2024

Editor: L. Leibovici

Keywords:

Candida albicans

Candida auris

Candida parapsilosis

CVC

Glucan

To the Editor;

We thank Adelman et al. [1] for their comment on our paper on lower *in vitro* and *in vivo* levels of (1,3)- β -D-glucan (BDG) in cases of infections caused by *Candida auris* and *Candida parapsilosis* as compared with *Candida albicans* [1,2]. Adelman et al. [1] suggested that contamination of a central venous catheter (CVC), defined as the growth of *Candida* in the blood cultures drawn from a CVC and the absence of growth in blood cultures from a peripheral vein, which is potentially more frequent in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, might have been the cause of lower serum BDG levels, as in such cases there was no systemic infection [1]. We appreciate raising the issue of the value of peripheral vein blood cultures in the ICU, as many studies on the performance of BDG did not specify if blood cultures were performed from CVC only or not [3–5].

DOIs of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2024.02.012>, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2024.05.010>.

* Corresponding author: Malgorzata Mikulska, Division of Infectious Diseases, IRCCS Ospedale Policlinico San Martino, L.go R. Benzi, 10, Genova 16132, Italy.

E-mail address: m.mikulska@unige.it (M. Mikulska).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2024.05.024>

1198-743X/© 2024 European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

We analysed the hypothesis advanced by Adelman et al. [1] and for the following reasons, found it an unlikely explanation for the differences in BDG content among these three species in our centre.

First, the possibility of CVC colonization might be a plausible explanation for lower serum BDG levels, but it should have no effect on the *in vitro* BDG levels, which could not be influenced by the presence or absence of systemic infection. Indeed, all the isolated strains were handled in the same manner after the initial growth. They were stored at -80°C , then thawed and cultured, and the same quantity of colonies was suspended for the *in vitro* BDG testing. Despite the same fungal burden, there were significantly lower levels of *in vitro* BDG in cases of *C. auris* and *C. parapsilosis* as compared with *C. albicans*.

Second, while most cases of *C. auris* candidemia occurred in ICU-admitted patients, most cases of *C. parapsilosis* candidemia, which was also associated with lower BDG levels, occurred in patients outside the ICU.

Finally, to carefully evaluate if CVC colonization might have an effect, we reviewed our clinical data on the positivity of blood cultures from a CVC and from a peripheral vein. Although all patients reported clinical signs of symptoms at the time of blood collection and no surveillance cultures were performed, in ten patients (eight of them admitted to an ICU), the blood culture from a peripheral vein drawn within 24 hours from the diagnosis of candidemia was either not performed or negative (six cases of *C. auris*, three cases of *C. parapsilosis*, and one case of *C. albicans* infection). We excluded them from the analysis and focused on the remaining 36 patients who had a peripheral vein blood culture(s) positive for *Candida*. *In vitro* BDG was available for all of them, and serum BDG for 32 of them. There was a still highly significant difference in the BDG levels, both *in vivo* ($p = 0.006$) and *in vitro* ($p < 0.001$), among the three species. In particular, for serum BDG, the median BDG levels were 63 pg/mL (interquartile range [IQR] 13–165) for *C. auris*, 57 pg/mL (IQR 19–393) for *C. parapsilosis*, and 438 pg/mL (IQR 182–520) for *C. albicans*, compared with, respectively, 50 pg/mL (IQR 15–161), 57 pg/mL (IQR 18–332), and 372 pg/mL (IQR 102–520) reported for the whole cohort [2]. With the

important limitation of a very low number of cases, the sensitivity of serum BDG levels in these 32 patients was 50% (95% CI, 22–78) for *C. auris*, 43% (95% CI, 12–80) for *C. parapsilosis*, and 85% (95% CI, 54–87) for *C. albicans*. The *in vitro* BDG levels in these 36 patients were 428 pg/mL (IQR 361–573) for *C. auris*, 826 pg/mL (IQR 712–960) for *C. parapsilosis*, and 1089 pg/mL (IQR 824–1289) for *C. albicans*, compared with, respectively, 463 pg/mL (IQR 379–648), 755 pg/mL (IQR 511–930), and 1080 pg/mL (IQR 830–1276) in the whole cohort [2].

Therefore, even after excluding patients who might have had CVC colonization rather than true infection, the species of *C. auris* and *C. parapsilosis* isolated in our centre contained and released lower levels of BDG compared with *C. albicans* strains. Analyses from other *C. auris* clades or *C. parapsilosis* strains might be useful to further confirm the generalizability of our findings.

Author contributions

Data analysis and writing—M.M.; data curation—N.U. and L.M.; conceptualization and review—all authors.

Transparency declaration

Author M.M. reports study grant from Gilead paid to the institution; speaker/adviser fees from Allovir, BioMérieux, Gilead,

Janssen, Moderna, Mundipharma, and Pfizer, all outside the submitted work. M.B. reports grants from: Angelini, Shionogi, Cidara, Gilead, Pfizer, and MSD. and personal fees for adviser/consultant and/or speaker/chairman from Angelini, BioMérieux, Cidara, Gilead, Menarini, M.S.D., Pfizer, Roche, and Shionogi, outside the submitted work. No external funding was received.

References

- [1] Adelman MW, Tran TT, Shukla B. Re: Lower (1,3)-beta-D-glucan (BDG) sensitivity and in-vitro levels in *Candida auris* and *Candida parapsilosis* strains by Mikulska et al. Clin Microbiol Infect 2024;30:1484–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2024.05.010>. S1198–743X(24)00244–1.
- [2] Mikulska M, Ullah N, Magnasco L, Codda G, Bartalucci C, Miletich F, et al. Lower (1,3)-beta-d-glucan sensitivity and *in vitro* levels in *Candida auris* and *Candida parapsilosis* strains. Clin Microbiol Infect 2024;30:822–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2024.02.012>.
- [3] Chibabhai V, Fadana V, Bosman N, Nana T. Comparative sensitivity of 1,3 beta-D-glucan for common causes of candidaemia in South Africa. Mycoses 2019;62: 1023–8. <https://doi.org/10.1111/myc.12982>.
- [4] Farooqi J, Niamatullah H, Irfan S, Zafar A, Malik F, Jabeen K. Comparison of beta-D-Glucan levels between *Candida auris* and other *Candida* species at the time of candidaemia: a retrospective study. Clin Microbiol Infect 2021;27:1519.e1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2021.05.031>.
- [5] Bloos F, Held J, Kluge S, Simon P, Kogelmann K, de Heer G, et al. (1 → 3)-β-D-Glucan-guided antifungal therapy in adults with sepsis: the CandiSep randomized clinical trial. Intensive Care Med 2022;48:865–75. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-022-06733-x>.